

PROPOSED AMENDMENT LANGUAGE

The proposed changes to the Basin Plan are as follows. Text additions to the existing Basin Plan language are underlined. Text deletions to the existing Basin Plan are in ~~strikethrough~~.

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

Modify the Basin Plan under the heading, “2. Grassland” (page I-3.00), as follows:

2. Grassland

The Grassland Subarea drains approximately 1,370 square miles on the west side of the LSJR in portions of Merced, Stanislaus, and Fresno Counties. This subarea includes the Mud Slough, Salt Slough, and Los Banos Creek watersheds. The eastern boundary of this subarea is generally formed by the LSJR between the Merced River confluence and the Mendota Dam. The Grassland Subarea extends across the LSJR, into the east side of the San Joaquin Valley, to include the lands within the Columbia Canal Company. The western boundary of the subarea generally follows the crest of the Coast Range with the exception of lands within San Benito County, which are excluded.

The hydrology of the watershed is presently determined by the primary land uses; managed wetlands and agriculture. The wetlands are important habitat for migratory waterfowl using the Pacific Flyway. The alluvial fans of the western and southern portions of the watershed contain salts and selenium which can be mobilized through irrigation practices and can impact beneficial uses of surface waters and groundwater if not properly regulated.

Modify the Basin Plan under the heading, “3. East Valley Floor” (page I-3.00), as follows:

3. East Valley Floor

This subarea includes approximately 413 square miles of land on the east side of the LSJR that drains directly to the LSJR between the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis and the Salt Slough confluence. The subarea is largely comprised of the land between the major east-side drainages of the Tuolumne, Stanislaus, and Merced Rivers. This subarea lies within central Stanislaus County and north-central Merced County. Numerous drainage canals, ~~including the Harding Drain~~ and natural drainages, occur in ~~drain~~ this subarea. The subarea is comprised of the following minor subareas:

Modify the Basin Plan under the heading, “Salinity” (page III-6.02), as follows:

Electrical Conductivity and Total Dissolved Solids-- Special Cases in the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins Other Than the Delta

The objectives for electrical conductivity and total dissolved solids in Table III-3 apply to the water bodies specified. To the extent of any conflict with the general Chemical Constituents water quality objectives, the more stringent shall apply.

Electrical conductivity water quality objectives for Reach 83 of the San Joaquin River have been set to protect the Agricultural (AGR) and potential Municipal and Domestic Supply (MUN) beneficial uses by irrigation season and water year type.

DRAFT

Modify the Basin Plan under the heading, “Salinity” (Table III-3 on page III-7.00), as follows:

Table III-3

ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY AND TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES</u>	<u>APPLICABLE WATER BODIES</u>
Electrical Conductivity (at 25°C)	Shall not exceed 230 micromhos/cm (50 percentile) or 235 micromhos/cm (90 percentile) at Knights Landing above Colusa Basin Drain; or 240 micromhos/cm (50 percentile) or 340 micromhos/cm (90 percentile) at I Street Bridge, based upon previous 10 years of record.	Sacramento River (13, 30)
	Shall not exceed 150 micromhos/cm (90 percentile) in well-mixed waters of the Feather River.	North Fork of the Feather River (33); Middle Fork of the Feather River from Little Last Chance Creek to Lake Oroville (36); Feather River from the Fish Barrier Dam at Oroville to Sacramento River (40)
	Shall not exceed 150 micromhos/cm from Friant Dam to Gravelly Ford (90 percentile).	San Joaquin River, Friant Dam to Mendota Pool (69)
	<u>Shall not exceed 1550 micromhos/cm (as a 30-day running average) except during Extended Dry Periods ¹when objective shall not exceed 2470 micromhos/cm (as a 30-day running average) and 2200 micromhos/cm (as the average of the previous four quarterly samples)</u>	<u>San Joaquin River, Mouth of Merced River to Vernalis (83)</u>
Total Dissolved Solids	Shall not exceed 125 mg/l (90 percentile)	North Fork of the American River from the source to Folsom Lake (44); Middle Fork of the American River from the source to Folsom Lake (45); South Fork of the American River from the source to Folsom Lake (48, 49); American River from Folsom Dam to Sacramento River (51)
	Shall not exceed 100 mg/l (90 percentile)	Folsom Lake (50)
	Shall not exceed 1,300,000 tons	Goose Lake (2)

¹See Chapter IV-32.00 for definition of an Extended Dry Period.

Modify the Basin Plan under the heading, “Control Program for Salt and Boron Discharges into the Lower San Joaquin River (LSJR)” (pages IV-32.00 through IV-32.07), as follows:

Control ~~p~~Program for Salt and Boron Discharges into the Lower San Joaquin River (LSJR)

The goal of the salt and boron control program is to achieve compliance with salt and boron water quality objectives without restricting the ability of dischargers to export salt out of the San Joaquin River basin.

For the purpose of this control program, nonpoint source land uses include all irrigated lands and nonpoint source discharges are discharges from irrigated lands.

Irrigated lands are lands where water is applied for producing crops and, for the purpose of this control program, includes, but is not limited to, land planted to row, field and tree crops as well as commercial nurseries, nursery stock production, managed wetlands, and rice production.

This control program is phased to allow for implementation of existing water quality objectives, while providing the framework and timeline for implementing future water quality objectives.

The salt and boron control program establishes ~~salt load limits 1) a method for determining the maximum allowable salt loading to the LSJR from discharges to achieve compliance with salinity water quality objectives (WQOs) at the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis with salt and boron water quality objectives for the LSJR and 2) WQOs and an implementation program for salinity between the mouth of the Merced River and the Airport Way Bridge. The Regional Water Board establishes a method for determining the maximum allowable salt loading to the LSJR. Load allocations are established for nonpoint sources and waste load allocations are established for point sources.~~

Salt Loading and the Vernalis Water Quality Objectives

Load allocations to specific dischargers or groups of dischargers are proportionate to the area of nonpoint source land use contributing to the discharge. Control actions that result in salt load reductions will be effective in the control of boron.

Load allocations are established for nonpoint sources and waste load allocations are established for point sources.

~~The salt and boron control program establishes timelines for: 1) developing and adopting salt and boron water quality objectives for the San Joaquin River upstream of the Airport Way Bridges near Vernalis; 2) a control program to achieve these objectives; and 3) developing and adopting a groundwater control program.~~

Per the amendments to the Basin Plan for control of salt and boron discharges into the lower San Joaquin River (LSJR) basin, approved by the Regional Water Board in Resolution No. 88-195, Resolution No. 2004-0108, and Resolution No. R5-2016-XXX and incorporated herein, the Regional Water Board will take the following actions, as necessary and appropriate, to implement this control program:

1. The Regional Water Board shall use waivers of waste discharge requirements or waste discharge requirements to apportion load allocations to each of the following seven geographic subareas that comprise the LSJR:
 - a. San Joaquin River Upstream of Salt Slough
 - b. Grassland
 - c. Northwest Side
 - d. East Valley Floor
 - e. Merced River
 - f. Tuolumne River
 - g. Stanislaus River

These subareas are described in Chapter 1 and in more detail in Appendix 41.

2. Dischargers of irrigation return flows from irrigated lands are in compliance with this control program if they

meet any of the following conditions:

- a. Cease discharge to surface water
 - b. Discharge does not exceed 315µS/cm electrical conductivity (based on a 30-day running average)
 - c. Operate under waste discharge requirements that include effluent limits for salt
 - d. Operate under a waiver of waste discharge requirements for salt and boron discharges to the LSJR
3. The Regional Water Board will adopt ~~a~~ waivers of waste discharge requirements or waste discharge requirements for salinity management, or incorporate into ~~an~~ existing agricultural waivers or waste discharge requirements, the conditions required to participate in a Regional Water Board approved real-time management program. Load allocations for nonpoint source dischargers participating in a Regional Water Board approved real-time management program are described in Table IV-4.4. Additional waiver conditions or waste discharge requirements will include use of Regional Water Board approved methods to measure and report flow and electrical conductivity. Participation in a Regional Water Board approved real-time management program and attainment of salinity and boron water quality objectives at the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis and upstream in the LSJR to the confluence with the Merced River will constitute compliance with this control program.
 4. The Regional Water Board will adopt waste discharge requirements with fixed monthly base load allocations specified as effluent limits for nonpoint source discharges that do not meet conditions specified in a waiver of waste discharge requirements for salinity management. Entities operating under WDRs or that will be required to operate under WDRs in order to comply with other programs, may participate in a Regional Water Board approved real-time management program in lieu of additional WDRs for salinity if they meet the conditions specified in the waiver of WDRs for salinity management, as described in item 3.
 5. Fixed monthly base load allocations and the method used to calculate real-time load allocations are specified in Table IV-4.4.
 6. Waste Load Allocations are established for point sources of salt in the basin. NPDES permitted discharges shall not exceed the salinity water quality objectives established for the LSJR at the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis unless the discharger is a member of a Regional Water Board approved RTMP or a pollutant trading program consistent with the Salt and Boron Control Program. The Regional Water Board will revise NPDES permits to incorporate ~~TMDL allocations~~ the requirements of the Salt and Boron Control Program when the permits are renewed or reopened at the discretion of the Regional Water Board.
 7. Supply water credits are established for irrigators that receive supply water from the Delta Mendota Canal (DMC) or the LSJR between the confluence of the Merced River and the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis as described in Table IV-4.4.
 8. Supply water Load Allocations are established for salts in irrigation water imported to the LSJR Watershed from the Sacramento/San Joaquin River Delta as described in Table IV-4.4.

Per Resolution No. R5-2014-0150, ~~The~~ Regional Water Board ~~will attempt to enter into~~ adopted a revised Management Agency Agreement (MAA) with the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, replacing a 2008 MAA to address salt imports from the DMC to the LSJR watershed. The MAA ~~shall~~ includes provisions requiring the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation to:

- a. Meet DMC load allocations; or
- b. Provide mitigation and/or dilution flows to create additional assimilative capacity for salt in the LSJR equivalent to DMC salt loads in excess of their allocation.

The Regional Water Board shall request a report of waste discharge from the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation to address meet DMC discharges load allocations if a MAA is not established by 28 July 2008 meeting the provisions identified above does not remain in place.

9. The Regional Water Board will review and, if necessary, update the load allocations and/or waste load allocations by 28 July 2012 and every 6 years thereafter. Any changes to waste load allocations and/or load allocations can be made through subsequent amendment to this control program. Changes to load allocations will be implemented through revisions of the applicable waste discharge requirements or waivers of waste discharge requirements. Changes to waste load allocations will be implemented through revisions of the applicable NPDES permits.

10. The Regional Water Board encourages real-time water quality management and pollutant trading of waste load allocations, load allocations, and supply water allocations as a means for attaining salt and boron water quality objectives while maximizing the export of salts out of the LSJR watershed. This control program shall in no way preclude basin-wide stakeholder efforts to attain salinity water quality objectives in the LSJR so long as such efforts are consistent with the control program.
11. The established waste load allocations, load allocations, and supply water allocations represent a maximum allowable level. The Regional Water Board may take other actions or require additional reductions in salt and boron loading to protect beneficial uses.
12. Salt loads in water discharged into the LSJR or its tributaries for the express purpose of providing dilution flow are not subject to load limits described in this control program if the discharge:
 - a. complies with salinity water quality objectives for the LSJR at the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis;
 - b. is not a discharge from irrigated lands; and
 - c. is not provided as a water supply to be consumptively used upstream of the San Joaquin River at the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis.
13. Entities providing dilution flows, as described in item 12, will obtain an allocation equal to the salt load assimilative capacity provided by this flow. This dilution flow allocation can be used to: 1) offset salt loads discharged by this entity in excess of any allocation or; 2) trade, as described in item 10. The additional dilution flow allocation provided by dilution flows will be calculated as described in Table IV-4.4.
- ~~14. It is anticipated that salinity and boron water quality objectives for the San Joaquin River from Mendota Dam to the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis will be developed and considered for adoption in the second phase of this TMDL, according to time schedule in Table IV 4.1.~~

~~Table IV 4.1: Schedule for developing water quality objectives for salt and boron in the LSJR from Mendota Dam to the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis~~

Milestone	Date
Staff report on criteria needed to protect beneficial uses	October 2004
Staff report and Regional Water Board workshop on water quality objectives that can reasonably be achieved	June 2005
Draft second phase TMDL with water quality objectives and program of implementation for LSJR from Mendota Dam to Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis	September 2005
Board Hearing for consideration of adoption	June 2006

Water Quality Objectives Upstream of Vernalis

~~15. Salinity and boron water quality objectives for the San Joaquin River from Mendota Dam to the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis will be implemented using the implementation framework described in this ‘Control Program for Salt and Boron Discharges into the Lower San Joaquin River’ or other implementation mechanisms, as appropriate.~~

1. Per the amendment to the Basin Plan for control of salt and boron discharges into the lower San Joaquin River (LSJR) basin between the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis and the mouth of the Merced River, approved by the Regional Water Board in Resolution No. 2016-XXXX, and incorporated herein, the following actions will be implemented:

- a. The Regional Water Board will determine nonpoint source discharge compliance with electrical conductivity (EC) and boron WQOs using data collected at Crows Landing. Daily average EC data will be utilized to calculate the 30-day running averages for EC compliance; weekly boron concentration data will be utilized to calculate the monthly average and maximum boron concentrations for compliance.
- b. An EC Performance Goal has been established to determine the potential for the LSJR EC 30-day running average values to be consistently less than the WQO during the irrigation seasons of Wet, Above Normal, Below Normal, and Dry Water Years specified in Table IV-4.01.

Table IV-4.1: Electrical Conductivity Performance Goal Periods

WY Type	Irrigation Season		Non-irrigation Season
	Mar-Jun	Jul-Oct	Nov-Feb
Wet	1350 µS/cm		
Above Normal	1350 µS/cm		
Below Normal	1350 µS/cm		
Dry	1350 µS/cm		
Critical			

- c. The Regional Water Board will evaluate attainment of the EC Performance goal using data collected at Crows Landing, Maze Road Bridge and Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis.
- d. Ten years after Regional Water Board adoption of the Basin Plan Amendment, and based on the evaluations described in this subparagraph above, the Regional Water Board will consider opening the Basin Plan for revisions to the LSJR EC WQOs.
- e. During an Extended Dry Period, the electrical conductivity WQO will be 2470 µS/cm (30-day running average) to protect the AGR beneficial use. In addition, during an Extended Dry Period the electrical conductivity WQO for protection of the potential MUN beneficial use shall be 2200 µS/cm as the average of the previous four (4) consecutive quarterly samples.

An Extended Dry Period is based in part on the water year type numeric indicator identified in the State Water Board’s San Joaquin Valley “60-20-20” Water Year Hydrologic Classification¹ as follows:

- Above Normal – 4
- Below Normal – 3
- Dry – 2
- Critically Dry – 1
- Wet – 5

The indicator values will be used as follows to determine when an Extended Dry Period is in effect:

- An Extended Dry Period shall begin when the sum of the current year’s 60-20-20 indicator value and the previous two year’s 60-20-20 indicator values total six (6) or less.
- An Extended Dry Period shall be deemed to exist for one water year (12 months) following a period with an indicator value total of six (6) or less.

¹ The method for determining the San Joaquin Valley Water Year Hydrologic Classifications is defined in the State Water Board Revised Water Right

Decision 1641, March 2000, Figure 2, page 189. This method uses the best available estimate of the 60-20-20 San Joaquin Valley water year hydrologic classification at the 75% exceedance level using the best available data published in the California Department of Water Resources' ongoing Bulletin 120 series.

2. NPDES permitted discharges shall not cause the exceedance of the boron or salinity WQOs established for the LSJR at agricultural diversion locations downstream of the confluence with the Merced River (this and other items pending legal review)

3. The Regional Water Board will revise NPDES permits to incorporate the requirements of the upstream water quality objectives when the permits are renewed or reopened at the discretion of the Regional Water Board.

~~16. A groundwater control program for sources of salt discharges into the LSJR will be developed by June 2020 if water quality objectives in the LSJR are not being attained.~~

Implementation Priority and Schedules

Salt Loading and the Vernalis Water Quality Objectives

17. The Regional Water Board will focus control actions on the most significant sources of salt and boron discharges to the LSJR. Priority for implementation of load allocations to control salt and boron discharges will be given to subareas with the greatest unit area salt loading (tons per acre per year) to the LSJR (Table IV-4.2). The priorities established in Table IV-4.2 will be reviewed by 28 July 2012 and every 6 years thereafter.

Table IV-4.2: Priorities for implementing load allocations¹

Subarea	Priority
San Joaquin River Upstream of Salt Slough	Low
Grassland	High
Northwest Side	High
East Valley Floor	Low
Merced River	Low
Tuolumne River	Medium
Stanislaus River	Low
Delta Mendota Canal ²	High
¹ Priorities based on the unit area salt load from each subarea and mass loading from the DMC	
² Delta Mendota Canal is not a subarea	

Time Schedules for Implementation

18. The Regional Water Board will incorporate base load allocations into waste discharge requirements and real-time load allocations into conditions of waiver of waste discharge requirements by 28 July 2008. Dischargers regulated under a waiver of waste discharge requirements for dischargers participating in a real-time management program for the control of salt and boron in the LSJR shall comply with the waiver conditions within 1 year of the date of adoption of the waiver.

19. Existing NPDES point source dischargers are low priority and subject to the compliance schedules for low priority discharges in Table IV-4.3. New point source discharges that begin discharging after the date of the adoption of this control program must meet the requirements of the Control Program for Salt and Boron Discharges into the Lower San Joaquin River upon the commencement of the discharge.

Table IV-4.3: Schedule for Compliance with the load allocations for salt and boron discharges into the LSJR

Priority	Year to implement ¹	
	Wet through Dry Year Types	Critical Year Types
High	8	12
Medium	12	16
Low	16	20
¹ number of years from the effective date [28 July 2006] of this control program		

463. A groundwater control program for sources of salt discharges into the LSJR will be developed by June 2020 if water quality objectives in the LSJR are not being attained.

Water Quality Objectives Upstream of Vernalis

1. The electrical conductivity water quality objectives for the San Joaquin River from its confluence with the Merced River to the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis will be implemented by 1 January 2020.

Table IV-4.4 Summary of Allocations and Credits

BASE SALT LOAD ALLOCATIONS													
Base Load Allocations (thousand tons of salt)													
Year-type ¹	Month / Period												
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr 1 to Apr. 14	Pulse Period ²	May 16 to May 31	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Wet	41	84	116	23	72	31	0	0	5	45	98	44	36
Abv. Norm	44	84	64	26	71	14	0	0	0	44	58	35	32
Blw. Norm	22	23	31	11	45	8	0	0	0	38	41	34	30
Dry	28	39	25	5	25	1	0	0	0	25	31	27	28
Critical	18	15	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	30	26	23

REAL-TIME SALT LOAD ALLOCATIONS
<p>Nonpoint source dischargers operating under waiver of waste discharge requirements must participate in a Regional Water Board approved real-time management program and meet real-time load allocations. Loading capacity and real-time load allocations are calculated for a monthly time step. The following method is used to calculate real-time load allocations. Flows are expressed in thousand acre-feet per month and loads are expressed in thousand tons per month.</p>
<p>Loading Capacity (LC) in thousand tons per month is calculated by multiplying flow in thousand acre-ft per month by the salinity water quality objective in $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, a unit conversion factor of 0.8293, and a coefficient of 0.85 to provide a 15 percent margin of safety to account for any uncertainty.</p> $LC = Q * WQO * 0.8293 * 0.85$ <p>where:</p> <p>LC = total loading capacity in thousand tons per month</p> <p>Q = flow in the San Joaquin River at the Airport way Bridge near Vernalis in thousand acre-feet per month</p> <p>WQO = salinity water quality objective for the LSJR at Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis in $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$</p>
<p>The sum of the real-time Load Allocations (LA) for nonpoint source dischargers are equal to a portion of the LSJR's total Loading Capacity (LC) as described by the following equation:</p> $LA = LC - L_{BG} - L_{CUA} - L_{GW} - \Sigma WLA$ <p>Where:</p> <p>LA = sum of the real-time Load Allocations for nonpoint source dischargers</p> <p>L_{BG} = loading from background sources</p> <p>L_{CUA} = consumptive use allowance</p> <p>L_{GW} = loading from groundwater</p> <p>ΣWLA = sum of the waste load allocations for all point sources</p>
<p>Background loading in thousand tons is calculated using the following equation:</p> $L_{BG} = Q * 85 \mu\text{S}/\text{cm} * 0.8293$

Table IV-4.4 Summary of Allocations and Credits (continued)

Consumptive use allowance loading is calculated with the following equation:

$$L_{CUA} = Q * 230 \mu\text{S/cm} * 0.8293$$

Monthly groundwater Loading (L_{GW}) (in thousand tons)

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
15	15	30	32	36	53	46	27	16	13	14	15

Waste load allocations for individual point sources are calculated using the following equation:

$$WLA = Q_{PS} * WQO * 0.8293$$

where:

WLA = waste load allocation in **thousand** tons per month

Q_{PS} = effluent flow to surface waters from the NPDES permitted point source discharger (in thousand acre-feet per month)

WQO = salinity water quality objective for the LSJR at Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis in $\mu\text{S/cm}$

APPORTIONING OF SALT LOAD ALLOCATION

An individual discharger or group of dischargers can calculate their load allocation by multiplying the nonpoint source acreage drained by the load allocation per acre.

$$LA \text{ per acre} = \frac{LA}{\text{Total nonpoint source acreage}}$$

As of 1 August 2003, the total nonpoint source acreage of the LSJR Basin is 1.21-million acres. Nonpoint source land uses include all irrigated agricultural lands (including managed wetlands). Agricultural land includes all areas designated as agricultural or semi-agricultural land uses in the most recent land use surveys published by the California Department of Water Resources. California Department of Water Resources land use surveys are prepared and published on a county-by-county basis. Multiple counties or portions of counties may overlay a given subarea. The land use surveys must be used in combination with a Geographic Information System to quantify the agricultural land use in each subarea. Nonpoint source land areas will be updated every 6 years through an amendment to the Basin Plan if updated California Department of Water Resources land use surveys have been published. The following land use surveys (or portions thereof) are used to quantify agricultural land use in the LSJR watershed.

County	Year of most recent land use survey ¹
Merced	1995
Madera	1995
San Joaquin	1996
Fresno	1994
Stanislaus	1996
¹ -as of 1 August 2003	

Acreage of managed wetlands is based on the boundaries of the federal, private and state owned wetlands that comprise the Grassland Ecological Area in Merced County. Agricultural lands (as designated in DWR land uses surveys) within the Grassland Ecological Area are counted as a agricultural land use and not as managed wetlands. All other lands within the Grassland Ecological Area are considered to be managed wetlands.

CONSUMPTIVE USE ALLOWANCE

In addition to the base load allocations or real-time load allocations shown above, a consumptive use allowance (L_{CUA}) is provided to each discharger:

$$L_{CUA} \text{ in tons per month} = \text{discharge volume in thousand acre-feet per month} * 230 \mu\text{S/cm} * 0.8293$$

Table IV-4.4 Summary of Allocations and Credits (continued)

SUPPLY WATER CREDITS													
A supply water credit is provided to irrigators in the Grassland and Northwest Side Subareas that receive water from the DMC. This DMC supply water credit is equal to 50 percent of the added salt load, in excess of background, delivered to Grassland and Northwest Side subareas. The following fixed DMC supply water credits apply to dischargers operating under base load allocations:													
DMC supply water credits (thousand tons)													
Year-type ¹	Month / Period												
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr 1 to Apr. 14	Pulse Period ²	May 16 to May 31	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
NORTHWEST SIDE SUBAREA													
Wet	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.7	1.4	0.7	2.0	2.6	2.6	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.0
Abv. Norm	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.9	1.0	2.3	2.3	2.6	1.2	0.8	0.3	0.0
Blw. Norm	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.6	1.5	3.4	4.2	3.3	2.5	1.9	0.8	0.0
Dry	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0
Critical	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GRASSLAND SUBAREA													
Wet	2.1	5.9	13.9	7.8	17.3	8.8	22.6	20.8	23.2	17.2	16.0	10.4	3.7
Abv. Norm	1.2	4.8	9.4	10.4	24.7	13.6	27.6	20.3	24.5	23.9	16.6	7.5	2.6
Blw. Norm	1.4	5.7	13.8	12.5	29.5	15.9	32.6	29.2	29.8	32.9	25.3	12.8	4.5
Dry	2.2	6.7	15.9	11.1	23.4	11.2	22.9	23.1	24.0	28.0	23.7	13.0	5.3
Critical	3.3	8.9	17.2	10.2	24.1	13.3	33.3	32.5	31.8	27.5	28.7	13.6	5.9
The following method is used to calculate real-time DMC supply water credits in thousand tons per month and applies to dischargers operating under real-time load allocations.													
Real-time CVP Supply Water Credit = $Q_{CVP} * (C_{CVP} - C_{BG}) * 0.8293 * 0.5$													
Where:													
Q_{CVP} = volume of water delivered from CVP in thousand acre-feet per month ³													
C_{CVP} = electrical conductivity of water delivered from CVP in $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}^3$													
C_{BG} = background electrical conductivity of 85 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$													
For irrigators in the Northwest Side Subarea an additional supply water credit is provided to account for salts contained in supply water diverted directly from the LSJR (LSJR diversion water credit). The LSJR diversion credit is equal to 50 percent of the added salt load (in excess of background) in supply water diverted from the San Joaquin River between the confluence of the Merced River and the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis. The following fixed LSJR supply water credits apply to dischargers operating under base load allocations:													
LSJR supply water credits (thousand tons)													
Year-type ¹	Month / Period												
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr 1 to Apr. 14	Pulse Period ²	May 16 to May 31	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Wet	0.0	0.6	9.2	6.2	9.4	11.0	17.2	23.5	20.5	9.5	1.3	0	0
Abv. Norm	0.0	0.8	5.0	7.4	12.3	11.2	21.8	24.9	20.3	10.7	1.5	0	0
Blw. Norm	0.0	0.6	5.5	7.0	14.4	13.4	27.3	33.1	24.9	13.9	2.4	0	0
Dry	0.0	0.7	5.3	6.4	11.1	10.7	27.5	34.0	20.3	11.4	2.4	0	0
Critical	0.0	0.8	4.5	5.1	14.8	10.6	25.2	28.5	22.3	8.7	2.5	0	0

Table IV-4.4 Summary of Allocations and Credits (continued)

The following method is used to calculate Real-time LSJR supply water credits in **thousand** tons per month and applies to dischargers operating under real-time load allocations.

$$\text{Real-time LSJR Supply Water Credit} = Q_{\text{LSJR DIV}} * (C_{\text{LSJR DIV}} - C_{\text{BG}}) * 0.8293 * 0.5$$

Where:

$Q_{\text{LSJR DIV}}$ = volume of water diverted from LSJR between the Merced River Confluence and the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis in thousand acre-feet per month⁴

$C_{\text{LSJR DIV}}$ = electrical conductivity of water diverted from the LSJR in $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}^4$

C_{BG} = background electrical conductivity of 85 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$

SUPPLY WATER ALLOCATIONS

The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation DMC load allocation (LA_{DMC}) is equal to the volume of water delivered from the DMC (Q_{DMC}) to the Grassland and Northwest side Subareas at a background Sierra Nevada quality of 85 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$.

$$LA_{\text{DMC}} = Q_{\text{DMC}} * 85 \mu\text{S}/\text{cm} * 0.8293$$

DILUTION FLOW ALLOCATIONS

Entities providing dilution flows obtain an allocation equal to the salt load assimilative capacity provided by this flow, calculated as follows:

$$A_{\text{dil}} = Q_{\text{dil}} * (C_{\text{dil}} - \text{WQO}) * 0.8293$$

Where:

A_{dil} = dilution flow allocation in **thousand** tons of salt per month

Q_{dil} = dilution flow volume in thousand acre-feet per month

C_{dil} = dilution flow electrical conductivity in $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$

WQO = salinity water quality objective for the LSJR at Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis in $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$

¹ The water year classification will be established using the best available estimate of the 60-20-20 San Joaquin Valley water year hydrologic classification (as defined in Footnote 17 for Table 3 in the State Water Resources Control Board's *Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary*, ~~May 1995~~ December 2006) at the 75% exceedance level using data from the Department of Water Resources Bulletin 120 series. The previous water year's classification will apply until an estimate is made of the current water year.

² Pulse period runs from 4/15-5/15. Period and distribution of base load allocation and supply water credits between April 1 and May 31 may change based on scheduling of pulse flow as specified in State Water Board Revised Water Rights Decision 1641. Total base load allocation for April 1 through May 31 does not change but will be redistributed based on any changes in the timing of the pulse period

³ Methods used to measure and report the volume and electrical conductivity of water delivered from the CVP to irrigated lands must be approved by the Regional Water Board as part of the waiver conditions required to participate in a Regional Water Board approved real-time management program

⁴ Methods used to measure and report the volume and electrical conductivity of water diverted from the SJR between the confluence of the Merced and the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis must be approved by the Regional Water Board as part of the waiver conditions required to participate in a Regional Water Board approved real-time management program

CHAPTER V SURVEILLANCE AND MONITORING

Modify the Basin Plan by adding a new heading and text to the bottom of page V-5.00, as follows:

Salt and Boron Discharges into the Lower San Joaquin River

The amendments to the Basin Plan that established electrical conductivity and boron WQOs for discharges into the lower San Joaquin River (LSJR) between the Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis and the mouth of the Merced River was approved by the Regional Water Board in Resolution No. 88-195 and Resolution No. 2016-XXXX, incorporated herein. The Regional Water Board will review data collected at the Crows Landing, Maze Road Bridge and Airport Way Bridge near Vernalis on the San Joaquin River to determine compliance with the LSJR electrical conductivity WQOs and attainment of the Performance Goal. Daily average electrical conductivity measurement calculations will be utilized to calculate the 30-day running average for WQO compliance and Performance Goal attainment. The Regional Water Board will review boron concentration data collected weekly at Crows Landing and Vernalis for comparison of single sample maximum monthly concentrations with the maximum WQOs and to calculate the monthly average concentrations for comparison with the monthly average WQOs.