

TABLE 2. SCREENING FOR EVALUATION OF THREE MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES

GOAL	CRITERIA	SUB-CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT RANGE			SUGGESTED METRICS
Addresses a Significant Source of Salt Loading to the LSJR	1. Technical Feasibility	a. Technologies are readily available/adaptable	Unproven	Proven, not available and/or not adaptable	Proven, readily available, and adaptable	Qualitative.
		b. Ability to meet WQOs and load allocations or WQ achieved in river	Low rate of compliance	Med rate of compliance	High rate of compliance	Predicted rate of LSJR compliance with WQO.
		c. Provides for flexibility to growers and wetland operators	Low flexibility	Medium flexibility	High flexibility	Qualitative.
		d. Flexible/adaptable to climate changes/water year types	Low flexibility	Medium flexibility	High flexibility	Qualitative.
	2. Economic Viability	a. Relative Capital and O&M costs	Highest costs	Medium costs	Lowest costs	Estimated costs. Metric to be determined. (i.e., millions/year, dollars/ton of salt removed, or dollars/ac-ft, etc.).
	3. Ability to Implement	a.Potential environmental issues	High issues/ delays	Med issues/ delays	Low issues/ delays	Qualitative.
		b.Time period for planning/design/construction	Most time to implement	Medium time to implement	Least time to implement	Qualitative.
		c.Legal/regulatory/institutional hurdles	High potential for hurdles	Medium hurdles	Little to no hurdles	Qualitative.
		Time to implement	Most time to implement	Medium time to implement	Least time to implement	Years.
		d.Action within authority of implementing agency	No authority exists	Some authority	Full authority exists	Qualitative.