


## Q1 Overall, how would you rate the webinar?


Answered: 41 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	26.83%	11
Very good	56.10%	23
Good	14.63%	6
Fair	0.00%	0
Poor	2.44%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>41</b>

## Q2 How would you describe your knowledge and understanding of the CV-SALTS program before the webinar?


Answered: 41 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very knowledgeable	12.20%	5
Moderately knowledgeable	26.83%	11
Somewhat knowledgeable	29.27%	12
A little knowledgeable	29.27%	12
All new to me	2.44%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>41</b>

### Q3 How well did the webinar meet your expectations?


Answered: 40 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Much better than expected	7.50%	3
Better than expected	55.00%	22
About what I expected	35.00%	14
Worse than expected	0.00%	0
Much worse than expected	2.50%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>40</b>

## Q4 Do you think the webinar was too long, too short, or about right?


Answered: 41 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Much too long	0.00%	0
Too long	17.07%	7
About right	75.61%	31
Too short	7.32%	3
Much too short	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>41</b>

### Q5 How helpful was the content presented in the webinar?


Answered: 41 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely helpful	9.76%	4
Very helpful	53.66%	22
Somewhat helpful	31.71%	13
Not so helpful	2.44%	1
Not at all helpful	2.44%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>41</b>

## Q6 How engaging were the speakers in the webinar?


Answered: 41 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely engaging	9.76%	4
Very engaging	60.98%	25
Somewhat engaging	24.39%	10
Not so engaging	2.44%	1
Not at all engaging	2.44%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>41</b>

## Q7 How well did the presenters answer questions?


Answered: 41 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely well	12.20%	5
Very well	68.29%	28
Somewhat well	17.07%	7
Not so well	0.00%	0
Not at all well	2.44%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>41</b>

## Q8 In terms of your work/professional responsibilities, how valuable was the webinar?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely valuable	19.51%	8
Very valuable	53.66%	22
Somewhat valuable	21.95%	9
Not so valuable	2.44%	1
Not at all valuable	2.44%	1
TOTAL		41




### **Q9. What did you learn about the Salt and Nitrate Control Programs that will be most valuable for you?**

1. A lot of acronyms.
2. Realizing the learning curve is high for areas of Region 5. Helps in relaying information on CV-SALTS while developing the implementation phase.
3. Benefits and drawbacks of different pathways to be able to advise clients.
4. Watch and see stance. Came across as a Bureaucratic process that appears to attract activism and minimize a balance of reality and cost burden to the industries that support the Central Valley.
5. The need to decide the route to take.
6. Hearing how different areas implemented the Management Zone and reached out to community stakeholders was very helpful.
7. I work on SGMA from the DWR perspective so it was very good for me to hear the basics of this program and specifically how it may overlap with SGMA.
8. Missed the point of convincing the audience to comply.
9. How I can guide or help my clients in making decisions regarding Salt and Nitrate Control Programs.
10. The choices WDR holders must make regarding the paths for salts and nitrates.
11. Additional requirements that don't apply to the coalition I work for, but do others.
12. Current WDR holders that have already caused salt and/or nitrate groundwater pollution have 30+ years to implement corrective measures as long as they contribute funds to management zone budgets (polluter pays principle). Program, although well-intentioned because the problem is so large, kicks the can down the road for future generations to solve. Sad and predictable.
13. Which pathway to recommend.
14. I had lost track of the status of these. So, just obtaining an update was helpful. Also appreciated the development of alternate tracks for salinity compliance, and urgency of attention to nitrate solutions.
15. A better understanding of the requirements and options.
16. Details on how it might be applied and how entities may choose options and move forward.
17. Management zone pilot projects lessons learned.
18. The different options available to dischargers and what the next steps are.
19. X
20. Information on joining a management zone.
21. We in irrigated Ag need make plans now to comply with CV Salt.
22. Timelines and future plans.
23. The pathways to compliance.
24. That staying involved will be very important as the cost of compliance is fairly unknown at this point.
25. The limited extent of the pilot program experiences --> there is much more yet to do to pave the way for implementation.

### Q10 How could future events be improved? Select all that apply.

Answered: 39 Skipped: 2




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Make the webinar more interactive	20.51% 8
Take more breaks during the webinar	5.13% 2
Have more knowledgeable speakers	2.56% 1
Allow for more discussion	23.08% 9
Provide more details on compliance procedures	43.59% 17
Use a different webinar platform	0.00% 0
Shorter webinars on specific topics	20.51% 8
Take fewer breaks during the event	2.56% 1
I can't think of anything that needs improvement	35.90% 14
Total Respondents: 39	

**Q11. What topics would be of interest for future webinars?**

1. Repetition is good when people are learning something new. Review how CV-SALTS was developed. Provide information on next steps for coalitions. Develop bullet points coalitions can share with growers.
2. Comment on #10. That is what I did not like, it comes across as "you will or else". Presenters crutch is the word compliance, need the voice of those that have listened and aligned although don't agree.
3. The effectiveness of the CV-SALTS program/management zone.
4. Case studies.
5. Simplify the written rules.
6. Follow-up information and progress regarding this subject.
7. Who are the stakeholders and who are expected to achieve compliance with this? I was completely unaware of this program and the history, so it was at times hard to follow.
8. Examples of nitrate and/or salt reduction/mitigation projects that management zones will implement to comply with program objectives.
9. Follow up with salt program.
10. Maybe in a year: how is it going - what are the successes and ongoing challenges. Have an industry rep speak (again) from their experience and perspective.
11. None
12. I'd like to see a way to engage the speakers better. That ability to talk to someone after they speak that you get in a conference room. Offline or in a chat box in case it's not interesting to all listeners.
13. (1) Pathway A - cost estimates and additional compliance information. (2) Management Zone formation. (3) Capacity building and diversity training of consultants running management zones.
14. Compliance procedures and training for representatives of dischargers.
15. X
16. Examples of management zones help to individuals instead of doing things by themselves.
17. Specific practices for reducing the salt loading from irrigated Ag.
18. What does a plan look like? Case studies.
19. Unsure.
20. More details on what goes into the Individual Pathway to compliance. In this webinar and other information that I've read, the how & how much for that pathway are still really vague. With that being the way it is, people will be reluctant to go that route (or may think that it is the easier path).
21. How to sequence the iterative overlapping activities of Pathway B. Detailed suggested project plan.

## Q12 How likely are you to attend a CV-SALTS webinar again in the future?

Answered: 40 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely likely	52.50%	21
Very likely	32.50%	13
Somewhat likely	12.50%	5
Not so likely	0.00%	0
Not at all likely	2.50%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>40</b>