CV-SALTS Proposed Budget Amendment

Over the past 2 months the Executive Committee and the CVSC Board has convened a fair share funding committee, reviewed many funding approaches. The Regional Board requested a comprehensive review of the budget and revenue be completed to lend certainty to CV-SALTS ability to complete the BPA.

Technical Project Manager Richard Meyerhoff and Program Director Daniel Cozad performed a detailed review of the technical efforts in the ICM/SNMP and basin plan scope and cost and reallocate some areas to provide a best estimate of the funding needed for the scope envisioned and the time available complete the work. This budget is based on the understanding of the future as of the time of the estimated and is likely to change as they are better defined. The scopes of work for the future efforts should be designed to adhere to these budgets in order to reduced significant delay in project initiation. This is unlikely to be the last budget amendment but documents significant changes which to date have been informal amongst staff supporting the project or by project approval.

The results of these changes are shown in the attached budget document. Changes are summarized below:

- More than $300K in additional CVSC funding budget and firmer commitments from stakeholder funding in the budget
- Funding the MUN POTW work at the level requested by the RWQCB for the Archetype benefiting Live Oak et al.
- CVSC/Stakeholder funding the $120,000 second phase of the Ag Zone Mapping project
- Funding Policy Facilitation and TPM expected needs through the likely end of the project
- Funding SED and Basin Plan staff report and support at $500,000
- Completing these within the funding available from CVSC, Stakeholder and CAA funding
- CVSC Board reviewed several approaches and considered many options to getting CV-SALTS to a strong funding position.

Based on the results of the Fair Share Funding calls, meetings with project proponents and CVSC Board discussion the CVSC board is set to consider approval of the following at their meeting this month:

- Increasing their dues for 2014 and 2015 as annual contribution to $20,000 each and raising the requested initial contribution to $35,000
- Approving project funding of approximately $60,000 from the Cities of Live Oak, Biggs, Willows, Colusa – who have indicated they want to work with CVSC to help fund the MUN POTW Archetype
- Additional special project such as a peer review and implications of the Grattan Model approach for the Ag Zoning model and support of CVSC technical consulting needed
### Comparison of Current Subcontracts Work Plan Costs CAA Need Funding

#### 30-May-13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>February Approved Workplan</th>
<th>Contracted Cost to Date</th>
<th>Current Estimate</th>
<th>CAA Obligation</th>
<th>Projected Available Balance</th>
<th>Total Funding</th>
<th>CVSC Obligation</th>
<th>Stake holders Grants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5,765,000</td>
<td>$5,765,000</td>
<td>$5,765,000</td>
<td>$5,765,000</td>
<td>$5,765,000</td>
<td>$5,765,000</td>
<td>$5,765,000</td>
<td>$5,765,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SIVDA Contracts Administrative Oversight
- $401,262

#### Program Management and Development
- Program Management/Facilitation thru 2/11 to 1/31/11
  - $600,000
- Program Management and Facilitation (3/13 to 3/15)
  - $600,000
- Maintaining mtg minutes and website
  - $160,000
- Prior Implementation LWA Pilot Salt Study
  - $160,000
- Prior Implementation and future Outreach Efforts
  - $900,000
- Basin Planning Support
  - $900,000

#### Policy Discussions on BU and WQO 2/13 - 1/15
- $135,000

#### Technical Project Management
- $500,000

#### EKI Technical Project Management (complete)
- $111,915

#### LSIR Interim Committee Manager (thru Sept. 2012)
- $50,000

#### CV-SALTS CDM Smith TPM thru 10/31/11
- $296,098

#### CV-SALTS CDM Smith TPM thru 10/31/15 (2 year)
- $264,000

#### CV-SALTS Initiative (post Oct. 2013) ESTIMATED
- $100,000

#### LSIR Committee Manager
- $278,700

#### Conceptual Model
- Phase I (approach, gather data, early model)
  - $200,000
- Phase II ($575K)* Estimated cost and topics
  - $2,729,562
  - Prioritization & Refine Model from Phase 1
    - $150,000
  - Potential Implementation Archetypes
    - $100,000
  - Asimilative Capacity and Antidegrad Methods
    - $100,000
  - Effectiveness and Sustainability Demonstration
    - $150,000
  - Prepare CV SNP Element Documentation
    - $200,000
- Phase III ($500K)* Estimated cost and topics
  - Surveillance and Implementation $13242
    - $100,000
  - Conduct Economic Analysis
    - $300,000
  - Perform Antidegradation Analysis
    - $125,000

#### Technical Studies
- BUOS Part I (complete)
  - $0
- BUOS Update with GIS Layers
  - $50,000
- Ag Water Quality Zoning Map
  - $335,000
- Aquatic Life
  - $31,500
- Groundwater Archetype (Tulare)
  - $600,000
- MUN POTW Archetype
  - $1,000,000
- Lower San Joaquin River Salt & Boron WQO
  - $765,000
- Implementation Planning
  - $462,977
- SSSALTS (workplan development 2012) work plan estimate
  - $335,000
- SSSALTS and Implementation Planning MA and Refine MA
  - $350,000
- Effective MP evaluation
  - $215,000
- Economically Disadvantaged Communities
  - $55,000
- Documentation Basin Plan Amendment
  - $17,977
- CEQA Equivalent (SED) and Basin Plan Staff Report
  - $430,000
- Initial Implementation
  - $75,000

#### Notes/legend
- *Scope/Cost Not Included in February 2012 workplan for this task
- **Not included in workplan calculations
- Under-estimated in workplan
- Area to be reviewed during discussion
- Text in Red is marked as a reduce or changed amount due to scope or for balanced budgeted
- Text in Blue indicates Significant Tasks needing additional Allocation of CAA funding for continued progress

---

**Comparison of Work Plan Costs:**

- **Actual Work Plan Costs:** $8,414,000
- **Contracted Work Plan Costs:** $7,874,400
- **Current Obligation:** $5,764,000
- **Potential Final Balance:** $1,828,000

---

**Notes:**

- **308,000**