



Meeting Agenda

FRIDAY, 25 SEPTEMBER 1992 - 9:00 A.M.

Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board

Board of Education
Auditorium, 2nd Floor
Tulare & M Streets
Fresno, California

We would appreciate your filling out an attendance card at the meeting. Filling out the card is voluntary.

Items showing times will begin no sooner than indicated. They may, however, be delayed by previous items.

*Please note time limitations may be imposed on presentations.
The Regional Board requests that oral testimony be summarized.
Written comments should be submitted to ensure they will be
included in the record before the Board.*

INTRODUCTION

1. Approval of Minutes of the 365th Regular Meeting of 14 August 1992
- *2. Consideration of Uncontested Items - *Uncontested, starred items are expected to be routine and noncontroversial; recommendations will be acted on without discussion. If any interested party, Board or staff member requests discussion, the item will be considered separately. - 9:00 a.m.*

ENFORCEMENT

3. Dinuba Timber Industries, dba Sequoia Forest Industries, and North Fork Energy, Inc., North Fork Mill and Congeneration Facility, Madera County - *Consideration of Administrative Civil Liability*
4. Consideration of Administrative Civil Liability Orders for Non-Payment of Annual Fees
 - a. Dischargers in Fresno, Kern, Madera, San Benito, and Tulare Counties (see page 3 for names of dischargers)
 - b. Continuation of Hearing for Berry Street Mall, Finger's Class III Landfill Closure, Placer County

NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMITS

- *5. Uncontested NPDES Permits (see Item 2)
 - a. United States Air Force, Castle Air Force Base, Wastewater Treatment Facility, Merced County (new)
 - b. Heinz U.S.A., H.J. Heinz Company, Stormwater and Cooling Water, San Joaquin County (new)

NOTES

1. Items are numbered for identification purposes only and may not be considered in order.
2. Persons wishing to introduce item exhibits (i.e., maps, charts, photographs) must leave them with the Board's Executive Assistant. Photographs or slides of large exhibits are acceptable.
3. Persons applying for, or actively supporting or opposing, waste discharge requirements before the Board must comply with legal requirements if they or their agents have or propose contributing \$250 or more to a Board member for an election campaign. Contact the Board for details if you fall into this category.
4. The Regional Board may meet in closed session to discuss matters in litigation [Authority: Government Code Section 11126(q)] and to deliberate on a decision to be reached based upon evidence introduced in a hearing [Authority: Government Code Section 11126(d)].
5. All Board files, exhibits, and agenda material pertaining to items on this agenda are hereby made a part of the record.

- c. Pacific Coast Sprout Farms, Inc., Sacramento Facility, Sacramento County (new)
- d. Beazer East, Inc., Ground Water Extraction and Treatment System, Butte County (new)
- e. U.S. Defense Logistic Agency - Defense Distribution Region West, Sharpe, Wastewater Treatment Plant and Storm Water Discharges, San Joaquin County (renewal)
- f. Bella Vista Water Treatment Plant and Well No. 4, Bella Vista Water District, Shasta County (renewal)
- g. Sierra Pacific Industries, Anderson Division, and CAMAGE, Inc., Shasta County (renewal)
- h. Mt. Lassen Trout Farms, Inc., and Sharon Bahr, Mt. Lassen Trout Farms' Battle Creek Facility, Tehama County (renewal)
- i. Mt. Lassen Trout Farms, Inc., and Leland R. and Shirley E. Davis, Mt. Lassen Trout Farms' Jeffcoat Facility, Tehama County (renewal)
- j. KF Foods, Inc., and KF Dairies, Inc., Fresno Ground Water Cleanup System, Fresno County (revision)

WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS (Land Disposal)

- 6. Kesterson Development, Inc., Fresno County Service Area No. 34, Willow Park Estates Wastewater Treatment Facility (Tract 4351), Fresno County
- *7. Uncontested Waste Discharge Requirements (see Item 2)
 - a. Monarch Nut Company, Pistachio Processing Plant, Tulare County (new)
 - b. Dole Nut Company, Inc., Terra Bella Pistachio Processing Plant, Tulare County (new)
 - c. Durey Libby West, Inc., Pistachio Processing Plant, Madera County (new)
 - d. Pistachio Producers of California, Inc., Pistachio Processing Plant, Madera County (new)
 - e. Victor Silva, Jr., Mary Silva, and Agnilo Rocha, dba Silva Acres Dairy, Merced County (new)
 - f. Negative Declaration for Producers Cotton Oil Company, Fresno Mill Facility, Closure of South and Southwest Ponds, Fresno County
 - g. Producers Cotton Oil Company, Fresno Mill Facility, Closure of South and Southwest Ponds, Fresno County (new)
 - h. City of Lakeport Municipal Sewer District, Lake County (revision)
 - i. Southern Pacific Transportation Company, Roseville Yard Pretreatment and Storm Water Facilities, Placer County (revision)
 - j. Kings County Waste Management Authority, Closure of Corcoran Sanitary Landfill, Kings County (revision)
 - k. VenVirotek and Kern County, VenVirotek Arvin Facility, Kern County (revision)
- *8. Uncontested Waste Discharge Requirements Rescissions (see Item 2)
 - a. Tri/Valley Growers, Plant M Wastewater Disposal Facility, Merced County
 - b. Merced County Rehabilitation Center, Merced County
 - c. Riise Meat Packing Co., Inc., Stanislaus County
 - d. Clipper Creek Ranch, R.D. Sutherland, Jr. and Jan Sutherland (Unclassified Landfill), Placer County
 - e. City of Sacramento, Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant and Unclassified Land Treatment Facility, Sacramento County
 - f. Energy Growth Partnership I, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Butte County
 - g. New Era Mines, Butte County
 - h. Liquid Chemical Corporation, Kings County
 - i. Central Lease, Inc., Poso Creek Oil Field, Kern County
 - j. Intex Oil Company, Vallecitos Oil Field, San Benito County
 - k. Texaco Incorporated, Mountain View Oil Field, Kern County
 - l. County of Glenn, Willows Airport, Closure of Surface Impoundment, Glenn County

OTHER BUSINESS

Public Hearing on request of the public was adjourned to 11:00 a.m. on September 25, 1992.

10. Agricultural Drainage Evaporation Basins, Tulare Lake Hydrologic Basin - *Review of Revised Deadlines For Submitting Reports to Address Wildlife Issues*
11. Consideration of Water Body Designations to Comply With Provisions of the Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters of California
12. 1992/93 Budget Issues
13. Executive Officer's Report
14. Adjourn to the 23 October 1992 meeting in Sacramento

Questions regarding this agenda should be directed to Inge Clarke at (916) 361-5640

Item 4 - Administrative Civil Liability Orders for Non-Payment of Annual Fees - Names of Dischargers

a. Dischargers in Fresno, Kern, Madera, San Benito, and Tulare Counties

Ancora-Verde Corporation, Mountain View, Order No. 70-046, Kern County
Ancora-Verde Corporation, Fruitvale, Order No. 80-108, Kern County
Archer Mining Company, Archer Mine, Fresno County
Arvin Company, Mountain View, Kern County
Cal-Merc Mining Company, Juniper Mines, San Benito County
Environmental Protection Corporation, Eastside II-1 SWDS, Kern County
Futures Foundation, San Benito County
Gartung Industries, Inc., Strawberry Mine, Madera County
Grayco Energy Exploration Inc., Helm, Yparrea, Fresno County
Marion E. Harbin, Canfield Ranch, Kern County
Martin & Sims, Welcome Valley, Mackessy, Kern County
R.E. Clayton, Trailer Isle, Tulare County

**CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION**

| <u>Board Members</u> | <u>City of Residence</u> | <u>Appointment Category</u> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| John S. Corkins, Chair | Porterville | Recreation, Fish or Wildlife |
| Karl E. Longley, Vice Chair | Fresno | Undesignated |
| Hank Abraham, Member | Fresno | Industrial Water Use |
| Hugh V. Johns, Member | Hanford | Irrigated Agriculture |
| Vacancy | | Water Quality |
| W. Steve Tompkins, Member | Springville | Water Supply |
| Clifford C. Wisdom, Member | Stockton | Water Quality |
| A. Vernon Conrad, Member | Reedley | County Government |
| Vacancy | | Municipal Government |

SACRAMENTO OFFICE

William H. Crooks, *Executive Officer*
William S. Johnson, *Asst. Exec. Officer*
Inge C. Clarke, *Executive Assistant*

Address: 3443 Routier Road
Sacramento, CA 95827-3098

Telephone: (916) 361-5600
State Lease Line: 495-5600
Fax: (916) 361-5686

Supervising Engineers

Jack E. DelConte J. Lawrence Pearson
Paul E. Jepperson Thomas R. Pinkos

Unit Chiefs

Gordon L. Boggs Robert J. Matteoli
Jerrold A. Bruns Larry F. Nash
Wendy L. Cohen Antonia K. J. Vorster
Kenneth D. Landau Rudy J. Schnagl
William J. Marshall Gregory K. Vaughn
F. Wayne Pierson Dennis W. Westcot

Counsels

Elizabeth Jennings, *Sacramento/Redding*
(916)657-2421

Karen O'Haire, *Fresno* (916)657-2088

REDDING OFFICE

James C. Pedri, *Supervising Engineer*

Address: 415 Knollcrest Dr.
Redding, CA 96002

Telephone: (916) 224-4845
Lease Line: 441-4845
Fax: (916) 224-4857

Unit Chief: Dennis C. Wilson

FRESNO OFFICE

Loren J. Harlow, *Principal Engineer*
Bert Van Voris, *Supervising Engineer*
William F. Pfister, *Supervising Engineering Geologist*

Address: 3614 East Ashlan
Fresno, CA 93726

Telephone: (209) 445-5116
Lease Line: 421-5116
Fax: (209) 445-5910

Unit Chiefs

Larry W. Beatty John M. Noonan
F. Scott Nevins Lonnie M. Wass
Shelton R. Gray Dane S. Johnson

GENERAL STATEMENT

The primary duty of the Regional Board is to protect the quality of the waters within the Region for all beneficial uses. This duty is implemented by formulating and adopting water quality plans for specific ground or surface water basins and by prescribing and enforcing requirements on all domestic and industrial waste discharges. Specific responsibilities and procedures of the Regional Boards and the State Water Resources Control Board are contained in the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act.

The purpose of this meeting is for the Board to obtain testimony and information from concerned and affected parties and to make decisions after considering the recommendations made by the Executive Officer.

Any person affected adversely by a decision of this Board may petition the State Water Resources Control Board to review the decision. The petition must be received by the State Board within 30 days of the Regional Board's meeting at which the adverse action or inaction occurred. Copies of the law and regulations applicable to filing petitions will be provided upon request.

The Board and staff welcome information on pertinent problems; but comments at the meeting should be brief and directed to specifics of the case to enable the Board to take appropriate action. Wherever a dispute, lengthy responses should be presented in writing and only a summary of pertinent points to be presented orally.

ITEM: 11

SUBJECT: Consideration of Water Body Designations to Comply with Provisions of the Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters of California (ISWP)

DISCUSSION: The State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) adopted the Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters of California (ISWP) on 11 April 1991. The Plan includes narrative, toxicity, and numerical water quality objectives for the protection of freshwater aquatic life and for protection of human health.

The numerical water quality objectives in the Plan are intended to apply to all waters in the State. However, the State Water Board recognized that some surface waters are not natural streams, and for these waters, some of the numerical objectives may not be appropriate. The Plan allows the Regional Board to establish special categories of waters for which site specific objectives or performance goals* can be established in lieu of the numerical water quality objectives in the ISWP. These special categories are waters that are dominated by reclaimed water discharges or by agricultural nonpoint source flows.

The Board, at its March 1992 meeting, adopted a listing of the water bodies dominated by reclaimed water discharges. The Plan also directs the Regional Board to designate by 12 October 1992 whether a water body would fall within one of two other special categories. These special categories are natural water bodies dominated by agricultural drainage [Category (b)] or water bodies constructed primarily for the purpose of conveying or holding agricultural drainage [Category (c)].

The attached Resolution and Staff Report are intended to fulfill these 12 October 1992 Plan requirements. They recommend waters for designations in these two special categories, but because of the complexity of the agricultural supply and drainage system in the Region, several subdivisions of these categories are used to facilitate understanding. In addition, the staff report includes information on objectives that are not appropriate for the water bodies and a priority listing for water quality problems.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt the Resolution as proposed.

* Performance goals are concentrations of water quality constituents established for receiving waters that a discharger must make best efforts to meet in discharging waste to waters of the State.

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

RESOLUTION NO. 92-205

IDENTIFYING CATEGORY (b) AND (c) WATER BODIES AND
CONSTITUENTS PURSUANT TO THE INLAND SURFACE WATERS PLAN

WHEREAS, the State Water Resources Control Board (hereafter State Water Board) adopted the Inland Surface Waters Plan (ISWP) on 11 April 1991; and

WHEREAS, the ISWP requires the Regional Water Quality Control Board (hereafter Board) to list and rank the Category (b) and (c) water bodies within its region; and

WHEREAS, the ISWP further requires the Board to identify the Tables 1 and 2 objectives which are inappropriate for the listed water bodies based on available data; and

WHEREAS, the list of Category (b) and (c) waters must be submitted to the State Water Board by 12 October 1992; and

WHEREAS, the attached lists comprise all of the known Category (b) and Category (c) waters in the Central Valley Region; and

WHEREAS, the Board, at a public meeting, heard and considered all comments pertaining to these lists. Therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the attached Category (b) and Category (c) water body lists using the subcategories outlined in Appendix A be submitted to the State Water Board in fulfillment of the requirements of the Inland Surface Waters Plan.

I, WILLIAM H. CROOKS, Executive Officer, do hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, on 25 September 1992.

WILLIAM H. CROOKS, Executive Officer

Attachment