



**Expected Outcomes for the  
CV-Salts Executive Committee Meeting on 7/21/2011**

- 1) A clear understanding the federal and/or state requirements governing subclassification or de-designation of beneficial uses.
- 2) Identify the specific conditions that could justify removing the AGR designation from a surface waterbody.
- 3) Identify the specific conditions that could justify removing the AGR designation from a groundwater basin.
- 4) Identify the specific conditions that could justify sub-classifying the AGR designation for a surface waterbody and the nature of the proposed sub-classification(s). Describe how the proposed sub-classification is expected to influence the development of more appropriate water quality objectives for surface waters.
- 5) Identify the specific conditions that could justify sub-classifying the AGR designation for a groundwater basin and the nature of the proposed sub-classification(s). Describe how the proposed sub-classification is expected to influence the development of more appropriate water quality objectives for surface waters.
- 6) Identify one or more real-world cases to serve as archetypical examples of each conditions described in #2 -#6 (above).
- 7) Describe the scientific documentation and other evidence required to support a petition for de-designation or subclassification.
- 8) Seek group consensus to support preparation and submission of petitions to revise the AGR beneficial use for a specific set of waterbodies (surface and ground) chosen to serve as regulatory archetypes.