CV-SALTS Executive Committee Meeting  
June 16, 2011 9:00 AM to 3:00 PM  
Sacramento Regional Sanitation District Offices – Sunset Maple Room

Attendees are listed on the Membership Roster

AGENDA

1) Welcome and Introductions Chair
   - Chair Parry Klassen brought the meeting to order, and roll call was completed.
   - David Cory moved to approve, and Nigel Quinn seconded, and by general acclamation the May 12, 2011 meeting action notes were approved.
   - Mike Nordstrom moved to approve, and Joe DiGiorgio seconded, and by general acclamation the May 24, 2011 meeting action notes were approved.
   - The new Membership Roster format was reviewed and changes explained by Daniel Cozad. Jeff Willett moved to approve, and David Cory seconded, and by general acclamation the new Membership Roster was approved.

2) Program Status Update – Tim Moore
   - The program status discussion was taken out of order actually held in the afternoon session. In response to a concern that there was no new revision to the Schedule of Policy Discussions, Tim Moore explained that the revised schedule had been held back pending the outcome of a discussion on the latest Salty 5 Call. The timeline had been clarified and the new Schedule would reflect the updated timeline with the presentation of the Updated Policy Framework and Workplan in December. The revised Schedule of Policy Discussions will be presented to the committee at the June 23rd meeting.

3) Review Test Consensus Summary revision for MUN – Morning Session
   - The morning session began with a presentation by Tim Moore in which he relayed to the group a discussion with State Water Resources Control Board Vice Chair, Frances Spivy-Weber. She re-emphasized the position conveyed at the Leadership Group in February, which was, there needs to be evidence of tangible progress by the end of the year or the Board will not approve additional CAA funding. The relative differences between the Central Valley and other regions, (Santa Ana, Los Angeles, San Diego) was also discussed. In contrast to the other regions, Pamela Creeden noted the inherent diversity and complexity in building a plan for a region, such as the Central Valley, that covers 60,000 square miles.
   - This discussion prompted a concern from Tim Johnson. He felt that it was incumbent upon the committee to reach out to the State Board members and actively engage them in direct discussion to highlight the efforts, and progress, of CV-SALTS more clearly understood. Tim Johnson requested that Chair Klassen put together as broad a coalition as possible from active CV-SALTS members and arrange to meet with each State Board member. There was general agreement that the committee should proceed with such an effort.
   - The remainder of the morning session was spent reviewing “Principles for Designating Surface Waters as MUN,” and “Principles for Designating Ground Waters as MUN.”
   - Tim indicated some of the specific changes to the Surface Waters document would include, but are not limited to:
     - Distinguish between Waters of the US and Waters of the State
• After item#3 on both the Surface and Ground waters insert clarifying language to indicate a nested hierarchy.
• Rewording of #6 to reflect Waters of the US vs. State distinction
• Rework #'s 9 & 10, taking away the presumptive language
• #13 change 'cannot be reasonably treated’ to ‘cannot be remedied’
• #12 revise and add as a sub-paragraph of 14
• Put 14 and 15 together with sub-questions underneath
• #17 delete everything after “beneficial uses.”
• #18 add “groundwater recharge”

➢ Proposed changes to the Ground Waters document will include, but are not limited to:
• #2 add the temporal component ref: “the best quality of receiving water that has existed since 1968”
• Items 1, 2, 3 will be structured similar to the Surface Waters doc with 2 and 3 becoming sub-headings of 1

➢ A general revision of both documents will be done to clarify all uses of the terms “must,” “could,” “will,” and “should.”

4) **Review Test Consensus Summary for AGR — Afternoon Session**
➢ After completion of the Ground Waters MUN discussion, Tim Moore led the group through a preliminary overview of the “Principles for Designating Surface Waters as AGR” document. This document will be covered in depth at the July 21 meeting. In the interim Tim requested the committee members consider what subcategories would be most meaningful for AGR. The original Word document for AGR will be forwarded to the committee. Feedback should be emailed to Tim by Friday, 6/24.

5) **Set next meeting dates and objectives (June 23, and July 21, 2011)**
➢ The next Executive Committee Meeting Dates are June 23rd (teleconference only), and July 21st is the next Policy session.

6) **Future Items**
➢ All pending administrative items to be covered on the June 23rd call.