CV-SALTS Executive Committee Meeting

July 21, 2011 9:00 AM to 3:00 PM

Sacramento Regional Sanitation District Offices - Sunset Maple Room

Attendees are listed on the Membership Roster

AGENDA

- 1) Welcome and Introductions Chair
 - Meeting was brought to order by Chair Parry Klassen, and roll call was completed.
 - Mike Nordstrom moved to approve, and David Cory seconded, and by general acclamation the June 23, 2011 meeting action notes were approved.

2) Review Schedule of Policy Discussions for 2011

- Tim Moore introduced a flow chart outlining the development of water quality standards. The chart was considered along with the Schedule of Policy Discussions to demonstrate that many of the relief options being discussed in committee track back to something that has to be changed early in the flow chart hierarchy.
- As a result of feedback received after the June 16 meeting, the approach forward will be to keep the basic Schedule of Policy Discussions structure, while working simultaneously to nominate real world cases as examples. Time will be scheduled at the next meeting to select archetypes from these examples.
- ➤ Jeanne Chilcott advised the committee that she had received a call from the Department of Financial Assistance requesting notification as to when the committee would be scheduling this year's update regarding the disposition of the Cleanup and Abatement funds. Parry Klassen requested this item be added to the agenda for the August 9th administrative meeting.

3) Review Expected Outcomes for July 21, 2011 Session

- The remainder of the morning session was a review of the Principles for Designating Ground and Surface Waters as AGR. The group reviewed the principles in the context of items 1 through 6 of "Expected Outcomes." Discussion of items 7 and 8 was deferred until the Technical Project Manager is in place.
- After a discussion of the groundwater management zones and bubble compliance, as used in the Santa Ana region, Tim suggested the identification and composition of these zones would also be an appropriate task for the Technical Project Manager.

4) Review Test Consensus Summary for AGR

- Tim tasked the committee with defining examples that cover each of the following concepts:
 - o MUN vs. AGR
 - Groundwater vs. Surface Water
 - Use designations vs. Sub-categorizations
 - Standard Solutions/Objectives vs. Compliance Determination Method Changes
 - All of the above vs. Alternate Compliance Strategies
- Tess Dunham suggested that the Regional Board's current permit schedule might serve as a good source for examples. Some suggested examples were:

Live Oak Willows

Tulare Category B & C work from '90s

Seville (EJ Community)

Woodland

Davis

Vacaville

Fresno

- ➤ Jeanne Chilcott agreed that Davis is a good example as their permit is going to the board next October. Jeanne requested that the Executive Committee ask the Technical Committee to start meeting again after August 9th. Specifically they have a workplan for the City of Davis and they would like to bring that to CV-SALTS to review the validity of the plan.
- ➤ It was agreed that Tim would forward an Archetypes Assignment to committee members that they could take to their constituency for the purpose of nominating waterbodies. Homework submissions are due back to Tim by August 8th.
- 5) Set next meeting dates and objectives (August 9, and August 18, 2011)
 - The next Policy Session is August 18th.

CV-SALTS meetings are held in compliance with the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act set forth in Government Code sections 11120-11132 (§ 11121(d). The public is entitled to have access to the records of the body which are posted at http://www.cvsalinity.org