

**DRAFT AGENDA
 Public Education and Outreach Committee**

CV Regional Water Quality Control Board Room
 11020 Sun Center Drive #200, Rancho Cordova, CA [Map](#)

Wednesday, February 10, 2010 3:30 PM to 5:00 PM

Teleconference available (218) 339-4600 Participant Code: 927571#

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 1. Welcome and Introductions | 5 min |
| 2. Review Agenda and Approve January 21 Committee Meeting Notes | 5 min |
| 3. DWR Conservation Newsletter - Update on CV-SALTS and RWP | 5 min |
| 4. Approve Committee Work Plan for 2010 /budget w/chairs priorities
Develop project details and priorities for implementation of priority projects | 15 min |
| 5. Review and Approve Scoping and Outreach Meetings April 26/27 | 50 min |
| a. Materials and Questions | |
| b. Logistics and Volunteer needs | |
| c. Advertising and Outreach | |
| 6. March 11 Meeting and 2010 Calendar | 5 min |
| 7. Identify items to be taken to the February 10 Executive Committee | 5 min |
| 8. Adjourn 5:00 PM | |

Mission of the CV Salinity Leadership Group:

The mission of the Central Valley Salinity Leadership Group is to work closely, in a collaborative manner to create a comprehensive Central Valley Salinity Management Plan.

Mission of the Public Education and Outreach Committee:

The mission of the Public Education and Outreach Committee is to obtain broad-based public participation in the creation and implementation of a comprehensive Central Valley Salinity Management Plan

**Central Valley Salinity Leadership Group
Public Education and Outreach Committee
Thursday, January 21, 2010; 3:30PM to 5:00 PM**

Attendees: See [Roster](#) for attendance.

Committee Co-Chair Melilli called the meeting at 3:30 followed by introductions of all present in-house and on teleconference.

1. Welcome, Introductions, Circulate Roster, Co-Chair Appointment

Rosa is officially replaced by Joe DiGiorgio. Roster circulated.

2. Review/Approve December 16 [Committee Meeting Notes](#)

Member Longley moved to approve, Co-Chair DiGiorgio, seconded, approval was unanimous

3. Co-chairs Priorities for 2010 (Joe DiGiorgio) ([Materials to be Posted](#))

Co-chair would like to ensure more general education to reach a larger audience than we've been able to reach before. Issue some regular press releases. More press coverage from general press.

Suggestion that press releases or PSAs be tag-teamed with storms and storm water build up and how that affects ground water and nitrate build up or something. Committee needs to be consistent in their communication.

Part of education is collaboration. The committee needs to engage law makers and legislators. The committee needs to get broader than the regional board. The committee needs to get planners and rate payers engaged.

EIR processes should include salinity effects and should acknowledge things and we should encourage them. Environmental justice and policy issues are to include salt. The committee should connect with the schools. The committee needs to continue to work with WEF and expand readership and awareness.

The committee wants to hear back from the regional board source control plan information. Do we need to provide feedback on that information? The committee also needs to follow-up on the salt footprint and how to develop that further to let people know about the metrics.

Joe will work on more details about how to develop these goals and they can be added to the Committee workplan.

4. Review [Committee Work Plan for 2010](#) budget request

Daniel made the recommended changes including targeting the brown bag legislative awareness to a bill or some other active thing that would highlight those, and changes to the education card. Daniel added the scope that Beth wrote for the grant on the card.

Joe asked about how to get people involved without repeating work that is already being done in the brown bag lunches. Daniel responded that how to target will be decided when the funding is provided. Comment that if the brown bag lunches were done in conjunction with other organizations, they wouldn't cost as much.

Suggestion that the committee team up with the Metropolitan Water District. Daniel was going to ask those who are on the Technical Committee and on the Metropolitan Water District if that would work.

Suggestion that there be a list of the specific audiences (target) the committee would like to reach. The committee needs to establish a benchmark for success from these target audiences (eg: what kind of legislative change? Awareness?) The first goal should be education about the problem of salt.

Brown bag lunches with the legislators need to have a prepared message; conduct to focused forums or workshops is academic/research oriented; Slide cards should be used for the brown bag and the public workshops.

Daniel commented that the projects were listed in order of priority. Suggestion that the brown bag lunch should be moved up to priority 2 and that the slide cards be handed out – depending on grant application decision. Daniel suggested planning something for the fall legislature. Karl suggested that these meetings can be held on a regular basis. Chair has been asked by outside source to put together a meeting with those legislators have expressed concerns about ground water; would be a potential audience for salinity.

Revised priority listing:

- 1) Public scoping outreach meetings
- 2) Brown bag lunch
- 3) Public forums
- 4) Slide cards
- 5) Salt tour

Focus will be on what should be included in the salinity management process and plan.

5. Discuss [Scoping and Outreach Meetings](#) for April 28/29

Public scoping and outreach meetings are planned for April. The committee will use the regional board mailing list. Use perhaps Fresno or Regional Board meeting. Suggestion that we start in Sacramento and then branch into Fresno and Reading. Discussion about how many meetings are needed and whether or not there is enough interest to bring people down from the north to Sacramento. Need to make sure that there are representatives of various agriculture sectors and agencies.

Suggestion that a southern location might be considered after seeing how Tulare and Fresno area meetings go.

Suggestion to start in Sacramento or Modesto and Tulare/Fresno. Need to get volunteer staff and a room reasonably cheap. Daniel and Chair will approach Pamela with the mini-plan and see if the regional board can help staff after feedback from the other committee chairs.

Karl voiced a concern about summarizing all the information from the meeting and then getting the information out in a timely manner. Suggestion that the chair provide information that he used for their meetings as a guide for how to structure the meeting and information.

Decision that Daniel will put the discussion into a bullet-list plan and share it with the regional board folks and get a list of questions.

Daniel will forward what was previously done to Joe, Dave, Gail and Betty for evaluation for use this time. Daniel asked how we would get the people in. Suggestion that if there is freedom as to how they can discuss the issues people will come. Nitrate issues will also be presented. Not just salinity.

6. [Matrix review/completion](#) Review

Daniel reviewed the current efforts but has not been able to make significant progress.

7. **February 10 Meeting and [2010 Calendar](#)**

The Calendar was reviewed as “final” and all will calendar these dates

8. **Identify items to be taken to the February 10 Executive Committee**

Items the committee would brief the Executive Committee include:

- Outreach Meetings and need for volunteers
- Priority of efforts as the committee sees them

9. **Adjourned at 5:09 pm**

Conserving and Recycling Water? Mind the Salts to Improve Sustainability!

Linda Dorn, Vice-Chair, CV-SALTS Executive Committee

Daniel Cozad, Executive Director, Central Valley Salinity Coalition

Water conservation and water recycling are critical components to ensuring an adequate water supply for California's future. Conservation tools assist water agencies in managing supply during critical times, but doing so can also increase the salts that are discharged to surface waters and wastewater treatment plants, and retained in the soils and groundwater basins. Recycled water, likewise, provides a drought-resistant source of water for many areas, but may also increase salinity levels in a region.

Those worried only about salts could argue against conserving or recycling water, but a broader, long-term solution is needed. The answer lies in integrating the issues of both water supply and water quality in order to yield the greatest benefit for a region.

To ensure this dual-approach to planning, the State Water Quality Control Board in January, 2009, adopted a Recycled Water Policy that gives local entities the power to address the needs of their region through collaborative measures.

Joint Board - Stakeholder Developed Policy

Early drafts of the Recycled Water Policy drew considerable stakeholder interest and concern. One of the biggest areas of concern was the management of salinity and nitrates in the regions where recycled water projects would be sited. The stakeholders, (many representing parties that will be mentioned in examples below), requested the State Board to allow them to draft a consensus document that addressed these issues.

The resulting policy, adopted by the board, states that "...local water and wastewater entities, together with salt/nutrient-contributing stakeholders, will fund locally driven and controlled collaborative processes open to all stakeholders to prepare salt/nutrient management plans for each groundwater basin/sub-basin in California."

Stakeholders are the Key

The policy sets the stage for the stakeholders in each region to develop salt and nutrient management plans which will update the region's basin plans to accommodate recycled water conservation and needed supply for a sustainable future.

This can only be accomplished through the engagement of the critical stakeholders in each region. The State Legislature, in AB (410), and the State Board both requested a funding priority from the Integrated Regional Water Management Planning funds in Proposition 84 to be applied to these plans. The State Board and regional boards do not have sufficient funding or staff to accomplish these efforts alone. Therefore, active, engaged stakeholders are key to the success of these programs.

Santa Ana Region Example

The Santa Ana Region has long understood the critical importance of salinity and water quality in managing the vital supply of water for this rapidly urbanizing region. Because the majority of the water for the watershed's more than 5 million residents comes from groundwater, significant management efforts have been undertaken over the last 50 years. The watershed agencies began planning together and, after many years of water rights litigation, settled in the late '60s with the adjudication of the river and initiation of what would become the Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority (SAWPA). This agency, comprised of the major water supply agencies in the area, understood how the watershed worked and focused its work on stretching water supplies for the betterment of future expansion of the region. This early planning effort led to a basin plan and projects that developed a brine line that assists local agencies in managing groundwater basin salts.

Because of this history, SAWPA was asked to lead a stakeholder effort to review the science and policy that formed the basin plan. As a result, beginning as early as the 1970s, the authority started reviewing the quality of the waterbodies of the Santa Ana Basin. Because water quality was critical to Orange County, the area largest in population but nearer the end of the river, the entire watershed worked to ensure water in the river met standards for beneficial uses along the entire length of the river.

In the 1990s, the Nitrogen TDS (Total Dissolved Solids) taskforce was formed with active participation of the Regional Board to fund and oversee the studies and review the policy used to update the Santa Ana Watershed Basin Plan. The original basin plan was completed more than 30 years ago with limited data and resources. The stakeholders believed that better science would allow for more efficient management of the groundwater basins for the future. They spent 10 years gathering data, scientific studies, and policy analysis to develop a complete understanding of the watershed and to document the process. This resulted in an amended Basin Plan, which had new TDS and nitrogen water quality objectives for groundwater, and an implementation plan the stakeholders helped construct. A triennial monitoring program ensures the program is working and a Salinity Guidance Document helps direct permitting and compliance.

When the Little Hoover Commission reviewed the Santa Ana Watershed Basin Plan process, it recommended that the State and all its regional water boards utilize the same type of process used in the Santa Ana Watershed to accomplish basin planning and objective setting.

Central Valley Implementation

Even before the recycled water policy, the Central Valley had been working on salinity and nitrates in a stakeholder process. Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long Term Sustainability (CV-SALTS) was initiated by the Regional Water Quality Control and State Water Resources Control Boards in 2006. From the beginning, it was a collaborative stakeholder program to develop and implement a salinity and nutrient management plan to be incorporated into Basin Plan Amendments for the Central Valley.

Salinity has been a critical concern for farmers and water managers in the Central Valley, particularly since the completion of the State Water Project. The issues of saline drainage are of more concern here than perhaps anywhere else in California.

Salinity is a problem that develops, worsens relatively slowly, and can eventually lead to disastrous results. More than 15.5 million tons of salt are brought into or mobilized in the waters of the Central Valley of California each year. Because there are few outlets for salt to move out of the Valley, they continue to build up in the soil and waters of the region. This imbalance cannot be sustained over the long-term, and it threatens the future of agriculture, food processing, and growth. A recent study by U.C. Davis found that, if nothing additional is done to address this imbalance, salinity will have a significant impact on the local economy. Researchers estimate that, comparing economic conditions in the year 2030 with 2005 levels, output from irrigated agriculture alone could decline by \$1.2 billion as a result of higher salinity levels. These lower levels of agricultural output could reduce aggregate employment and income across most parts of the Central Valley.

CV-SALTS Salinity and Nitrate Management

CV-SALTS stakeholder participants represent a broad and diverse group of users, managers, and interest groups focused on Central Valley waters. This group has developed a Work Plan Outline to guide the efforts needed to complete the scientific studies and establish policies that comply with the requirements of the Salt and Nutrient Management plans and satisfy the development of a Basin Plan amendment to implement the changes.

Because salinity is a large and complex issue, it will require substantial resources to determine the scope of the problem, to explore options for mitigating or removing salt from the Valley, and to develop a comprehensive long-term plan of action. Central Valley Water Board staff estimates that the total cost of collecting the data, conducting the necessary studies, vetting the analysis with stakeholders, and revising the basin plans will require as much as \$40 million over the next five years.

Under a recently approved Memorandum of Agreement, the State and Central Valley Regional Water Boards are working closely with the Central Valley Salinity Coalition. The non-profit coalition was formed in 2008 as the business and funding mechanism for CV-SALTS. The coalition seeks to develop funding for good science and baseline monitoring, to help mold and carry out basin plan implementation, streamline permitting, and reduce future compliance costs - especially for recycled water facilities. The State Water Board and Regional Board are contributing significant resources, in addition to the contributions from the stakeholders participating in the Central Valley Salinity Coalition.

Current Efforts

Recently, CV-SALTS completed the Salt and Nitrate Source Pilot Implementation Study which evaluated salt and nitrate sources and salt balance in the Yolo-Davis, Modesto and Tule River. (One area from each hydrological region of the Central Valley was studied.) The next steps are to review the beneficial uses and objectives for waterbodies and compile and review respective water management alternatives. Additionally, scoping and outreach meetings will be held to gather feedback from an even broader stakeholder community in April 2010.

More Information about Salinity and CV-SALTS

Water managers implementing water conservation or recycling programs, Integrated Regional Water Management regions and dischargers should be reaching out to those in their area to ensure they are included in these plans. Background information on salinity and CV-SALTS is posted on the website: www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/salinity. To learn more about the salinity stakeholder coalition consult the CV-SALTS website: www.cvsalinity.org.

##

Consider a call out box for the following (graphically located between the Santa Ana and CV Implementation:

Salt and Nutrient Management Plan Benefits to Local Agencies:

- Develops collaboration among agencies in watershed or region
- Enhances certainty in project-planning and investments
- Improves monitoring of surface water quality and groundwater quality
- Improves management of salt and nitrates in primary source water and groundwater
- Secures support and funding for water quality improvement projects
- Promotes a sustainable water supply supporting local and environmental needs



DRAFT CV SALTS Technical Advisory and Economic Committees Work Plan 2010 - Version 2

Background

At the November 19, 2009 meeting attendees reviewed the list from the last version of the Strategic Plan and focused on more implementable efforts.

Several items were discussed in detail and they attempted to provide costs of the efforts shown below:

#2 – Slide cards with a budget of \$6000;

#7 – brown bag lunch with a budget of \$4000;

#10 – conduct 2 focused public forums or workshops cost \$10,000 each;

#17 – salt tour at a cost of \$20,000.

With this basis the following Draft Work Plan was prepared.

2. Further Develop Committee Workplan for 2010 and outline implementation

The committee should further develop the workplan with the committee chairs and participants along with any direction provided by the Executive Committee.

3. Tasks to be accomplished in 2010

There was agreement to work on detailing and funding the following efforts in the Order of Priority:

99 – New-support scoping public meetings/outreach support \$15,000

#10 – Conduct 2 focused public forums or workshops cost \$10,000 each;

#7 – Brown bag lunch with a budget of \$4000;

#2 – Slide cards with a budget of \$6000;

#17 – Salt tour at a cost of \$20,000

Total cost \$65,000

4. Public Scoping Outreach and Meetings

Description

CV-SALTS has planned for Scoping and Outreach meetings which are critical to the CV-SALTS efforts and to long term CEQA compliance and transparency of the efforts. These meetings will require significant outreach coordination and preparation to be effective.

Committee Efforts

- PEOC to determine the content of the meetings and highest priority outreach targets
- Committee to review content and material concepts. It is recommend agencies with PIO's review the content and information and support efforts in their local area.
- Executive Committee to Approve upon recommendation of PEOC

Funding

These meetings and outreach are needed to support the BUOS and other efforts of the CV-SALTS Committees.

Milestones and Deadline

Committee approvals, meetings scheduled in April

5. Public Workshops or Forums

Description

The Public Workshops or forum was based on prior salt workshops provided through CV-SALTS which provide a broad overview of salinity and nitrate issues in the valley and tailored to the local workshop area. The presenters are credible experts and staff from the agencies and consultants. Workshops should include significant outreach to the local communities to increase understanding and attendance at the workshops. The budget supports outreach, preparation and conducting the workshops or forum. The only cost to the participant would be for food, if required with the opportunities for scholarships as needed.

Committee Efforts

- PEOC Determine priority of this task and timing for the event and how to coordinate with other efforts
- Determine scope for contracting for the workshops and revise the budget estimate, review proposals or offers.
- Committee to provide ideas and review workshop plan/content
- Executive Committee to approve upon recommendation of PEOC

Funding

Funding for this item will be needed. It is possible that this effort may be fundable from the BUOS as the effort is aligned with the scope for the study. Additional funds may be needed and partnerships may be possible with BOR or others.

Milestones and Deadline

- Conceptual Plan and Detailed Budget
- Funding Approval

Committee approvals, deadline per Committee and coordinated with other efforts

6. Brown Bag Legislative Awareness Lunches

Description

WEF has prepared detailed information on the Salt Education Slide Card shown below:

The goal of the Brown Bag Seminar Series is to produce leaders, educated in water resources, who will be able to make informed decisions about how to plan for California's rising water needs in ways that are sustainable.

The three Brown Bag Series Seminars proposed in this application will maintain that goal but with a tighter focus on Salinity issues. The Brown Bag Seminar Series provides elected officials and their staff aides with the opportunity to meet key stakeholders, hear various viewpoints from those working on the front lines of salinity issues, and receive reference publications and materials.

Committee Efforts

- PEOC participants to monitor for Legislation that would trigger implementation or create greater interest for the Awareness Lunches
- PEOC to work with WEF to design content and materials
- Recommend agencies with PIO's review information and support efforts
- Executive Committee to approve upon recommendation of PEOC

Funding

WEF has applied for funding from EPA for the \$6000 for this effort, if additional efforts are needed CVSC or other funding may be needed. Preliminary confirmation of receipt in February 2010 and funding decision in June 2010

Milestones and Deadline

EPA funding, committee approvals and deadline per funding

7. Salt Education Slide Card

Description

WEF has prepared detailed information on the Salt Education Slide Card shown below:

Utilizing the successful format that the Water Education Foundation has developed for previous slide cards dealing with topics such as Colorado River Facts, California Water Facts, and Prevention Pollution, the Salinity Slide Card will include facts and information about salinity in California's Central Valley and its growing threat to food supply, drinking water, and lifestyle. The Salinity Slide Card will offer tips for individuals to help in the fight against Salinity. The slide card will present, in an interactive way, how much salt is used or created in various household tasks both inside and outside of the home. The Salinity Slide Card will also provide the user with a list of "how-to's" for decreasing salts, such as water conservation, use of liquid detergents, and selection of low-salt water



softening home systems. The Slide Card will also offer various facts and figures about agriculture and salinity, including where the salt in the soil comes from, the amount of salt imported into the Central Valley from Delta water, and ways for farmers to respond and address the situation. The Water Education Foundation proposes to produce 3,000 copies of the Salinity Slide card for distribution and use by educators, water agencies and utilities, civic leaders and the general public.

Committee Efforts

- PEOC work with WEF to design content, layout and branding
- Executive Committee to approve upon recommendation of PEOC

Funding

WEF has applied for funding from EPA for the \$6000 for this effort. If additional copies are desired CVSC or other funding may be needed.

Milestones and Deadline

EPA funding, Committee approvals and deadline per funding
Preliminary confirmation of receipt in February 2010 and funding decision in June 2010

8. Salt and Nitrate Education Tour

Description

The Salt Education tour was based on prior water education and Delta tours provided by WEF and others that provide a bus tour to various areas that have salt impacts and solutions. This effort would raise awareness and focus on potential solutions and management alternatives for salt and nitrates. The budget is to prepare the tour and coordinate. The actual costs for expenses would be covered by the participants with a few opportunities for scholarships. The Committee recommends that this event be tied to another event, perhaps the workshops or another appropriate event to draw participants and extend advertising and outreach. The tour targets should be areas and operations that are examples of salt management efforts that will be part of the Basin Plan or Salt Management plan. The tour should highlight system problems and management opportunities as well as developing solutions.

Target audiences identified include:

- Legislative staff
- local elected
- Media
- Development, planning and land use

Committee Efforts

- PEOC Determine priority of this task and timing for the event and how to coordinate with other efforts. Determine scope for contracting for the tour and revise the budget estimate, review proposals or offers.
- Committee to propose and review tour plan and content

- Committee to work with others to develop sponsorships funding for scholarships
- Executive Committee to approve upon recommendation of PEOC

Funding

Funding for this item will be needed. It is questionable if current grants can contribute to this effort. Partnership may be possible with BOR or others to make this effort possible.

Milestones and Deadline

- Conceptual Plan and detailed budget
- Funding approval
- Committee approvals, deadline per committee and coordinated with other efforts.

9. Plan Implementation

The plan should be approved for content/appropriateness by the Executive Committee and for funding availability by the CV Salinity Coalition and Funding Source.

PEO Committee should discuss a timeline for each program:

1. Support scoping public meetings/outreach support - April 2010
2. Conduct 2 focused public forums or workshops cost – June or September
3. Salinity Brown bag lunch after _____ based on Legislation or pre/post November
4. Salinity Slide cards based on grant funding
5. Salt Tour, what events to partner or coordinate for implementation

Upon finalization of the timeline and plan, the PEOC will submit to the Executive Committee and CVSC.

10. Budget

The total Budget for this effort would be \$65,000 not including the committee support provided by CVSC. WEF has solicited \$16,000 from EPA to perform 2 items on the list leaving \$53,000. Some of the efforts listed in the effort, Public Scoping Meeting Support and Public Workshops/Forum are likely needed as part of the Beneficial Use and Objective Study, currently funded by the State Water Resources Control Board under a grant administered by the SJ Valley Drainage Authority. Approximately \$35,000 could be funded from this source. Other contributions would be needed for the remaining efforts, primarily the Salt Tour Development.

BUOS	\$35,000
EPA	\$12,000
Other	<u>\$18,000</u>
TOTAL	\$65,000

Scoping and Outreach Workshops Plan for CV-SALTS

These PRE-CEQA process scoping workshops are being held with broad stakeholders similar to the required CEQA Scoping meetings. The future CEQA scoping meetings, as described in the Public Resources Code section 21083.9, with guidance in the CEQA Guidelines section 15206, will be held at a later date when more specific project alternatives have been determined.

The purpose of these [scoping](#) workshops is to gather and facilitate broad and sustained public input on areas and priorities of consideration as potential CV-SALTS Initiative is developed. Some concepts and management alternatives to be presented and discussed in are intended to illicit a broad range of public discussion and comments, and do not necessarily represent a list, or even complete range, of alternatives that may be considered in any the CV-SALTS initiative.

Hold Two CV-SALTS Initial Workshops

In accordance with RWQCB staff recommendation two initial workshops will be planned in a fashion similar to CEQA Scoping meetings typically held by the Board. Additional workshops may be scheduled at later dates. CVSC will coordinate and fund the workshops, and CV-SALTS participants and RWQCB staff will assist and facilitate at the workshop sessions. Proposed Dates for the two initial workshops are April 26 and April 27.

Notice

In accordance with the typical Board CEQA scoping meetings process CVSC will prepare a public Workshop Notice, publish information in local media, and distribute it to the CV-SALTS email list and RWQCB CEQA mailing list. RWQCB staff will email the Notice to their Lyris list. The Notice will be distributed 45 days prior to the Workshops. A draft Notice is prepared for review and shown as attachment A.

Notice will present potentially controversial topics and information related to salinity and nutrient management options and opportunities.

Proposed Initial Workshops Program

Develop program materials

Workshop duration is expected to be 2-3 hours total.

Proposed Workshop Outline:

1. Introduction to Workshop and CV-SALTS (45-60 min)
 - a. CV-Salts Organization and Goals
 - b. Workshop Goals
 - c. Introduction to Salinity and Nitrate Management and the Basin Planning Process
 - d. Status Report on CV-SALTS and its work develop science and policy
 - i. CV_SALTS Work Plan Description and Development
 - ii. Accomplishments and Current Status of specific projects
 - e. General questions and answers about CV-SALTS Salinity Management
2. Introduction to Breakout Sessions (10 min)
 - a. Purpose, scope and goals
 - b. Program questions and factors to be considered

- c. Groups and leader introductions for breakout
3. Discussion groups 50-70 min
4. Report from leaders 10 min present 5 questions each 45-60 min
5. Next Steps/Meeting Report/Wrap-up
6. Adjourn

Logistics

Notices: (see above)

Locations:

Locations recommended for consideration are in, or near, Tulare, and Sacramento or possibly a location north of SAC. (Yuba City is available. Tim @CalRice indicated only possibly 30 would attend north of SAC, even in Yuba.)

Tulare AgTac (Both dates available [subject to approval](#))
Southern California Edison Agricultural Technology Application Center (AGTAC)
4175 South Laspina Street
Tulare, CA 93274
559-625-7189 Janiece

Alternate (no contact)
International Agri-Center,
Heritage Complex
4500 South Laspina Street
Tulare, CA 93274

Alternate (no contact)
Stanislaus County Agricultural Center,
Harvest Hall
3800 Cornucopia Way
Modesto, CA 95358

Sacramento (available and booked 26/27)
SACRSD Goethe Road
Vicki/Linda

Sutter County Ag Building (Available 4/26/09 only Booked)
142 A Garden Hwy.
Yuba City, CA
530-822-7515 Jesse

Alternate (no contact)
Durham Memorial Hall
9319 Midway
Durham, CA 95938

Registration:
Free Registration Link

<http://cv-salts-4-26.eventbrite.com/>

<http://cv-salts-4-27.eventbrite.com/>

or email to info@cvsalinity.org

Sign-in and group assignment

Estimated Staffing Requirements:

Registration Desk (2-3)

Presenters CV-SALTS Background (2-3)

Group Facilitators (3-4)

Recording of sessions:

Laptop and software (3-4)

Conference Call Line?

Documentation of sessions

Document or Database??

Results will be available on the Web

Content to be developed

- Why you should care about salt
- CV-SALTS Introduction Presentation
- Introduction to Breakout Sessions Presentation
- Workshop Questions Document and Response Format
- Workshop Questions Presentation
- Instructions for Facilitators
- “Day of Workshop” Plan

Potential Workshop Questions

Items in gray are facilitators’ notes to initiate the discussion

1. How does or could unmanaged salt impact your community/industry?
2. How do you think salt should be managed? What factors should be considered?
3. What Level of certainty should be required of the data and analysis and of management plan success?
4. Should management address groundwater differently from surface waters?
5. Should there be an offset or credit program for salt management? Who should be able/be required to participate?
6. Should management efforts address Nitrates and nutrients differently from salts?
7. What geographic scale should be addressed in the Salinity Management Plans?
 - a. Salinity Control in the entire Central Valley
 - b. River Basin Management Plans for Salt Control ie. Tulare Lake and Sacramento/San Joaquin or others
 - c. Local management and control
8. What categories, if any, should be considered for grouping salt sources?

