CV-SALTS Executive Committee Meeting - Summary Action Notes
For January 14, 2016 – 1:00 PM to 4:00 PM

Attendees are listed on the Membership Roster

AGENDA

1) Welcome and Introductions
   a) Committee Chair Parry Klassen brought the meeting to order, and roll call was completed.
   b) Approval of the November notes was deferred pending revisions requested by Debbie Webster.
   c) Richard Meyerhoff provided updates on the various ongoing technical projects. It was requested that
      the list of tentative deliverable dates be forwarded to committee members, and that if possible a copy
      of the schedule be included in future agenda packets.

2) SNMP Implementation Section – Follow-up to November Policy Meeting Implementation Framework
   Discussion
     ➢ Richard Meyerhoff and Joe LeClaire presented the First Draft: SNMP Program of Implementation.
       Some of the comments or concerns expressed by committee members were:
       o Document needs to be broadened to include a surface water component.
         ▪ The groundwater and surface water sections should be separate to eliminate confusion
           and help in the jurisdictional issue in terms of what EPA reviews and approves.
       o SNMP Management Goals.
         ▪ Broaden the text to bring in more foundation, e.g. Porter-Cologne.
       o Water Quality-based Goals
         ▪ Goal 1 – User Protection – needs to be phrased more succinctly
           • Add language to ensure immediate access to alternative water supply.
           • Consider laying out a work plan with milestones.
         ▪ Goal 3 – Implement Managed Aquifer Restoration
           • Change all occurrences of NO3 to N
           • Goal cannot be 10. Discussion still needed to decide on actual goal.
       o Implementation Framework – SNMP Submittal Options
         ▪ Add another layer to show what demonstration is needed if you only need to show you
           are not causing a concern.
         ▪ Need to have a specific conversation on realistic goal numbers once NIMS data is
           available. Need some kind of trigger to require management of nitrate, <10mg/L is not
           adequate.
         ▪ Communities on private wells should also be included, not limited to public water supply
           wells.
       o Implementation Framework – Existing WDR or Waiver
         ▪ Needs to be clear that when conducting analyses for trend and assimilative capacity,
           you need to know the delineation of the boundaries of what you are analyzing.
         ▪ Framework needs an additional first step. Are you going to comply? If not, what other
           pathways are available besides a management zone?
       o Implementation Framework – Prioritized Schedule
         ▪ Results from the High Resolution Mapping work will be done in March timeframe and
           are essential to the schedule prioritization.
Implementation Framework – Prioritized Schedule for First Submittals for Management Zone

- Consider extending the completion time for at least Priority Group 1. 10 years to complete all 4 Groups is probably not realistic.
- Clarify that the provision of safe drinking water is an immediate goal for the early phases.

- How does the mechanism for the 10-year review cycle actually work? Make sure this is addressed as the basin plan is written.
- Jeanne Chilcott noted that the concept of “incentivizing” is missing from the plan. What is the incentive for a discharger to participate in a Management Zone?
- Members also agreed more discussion was needed to clarify the concept of “opting out” of a Management Zone.

Next Steps

- Committee members should have written comments to Richard NLT 1/25.
- The framework will go to a small work group next to review the second draft.
- Next documents to be completed: NIMS Report technical sections are due to be submitted to the Project Committee 1/22.

3) Set next meeting date

- Executive Committee Policy Meeting January 15th, 9-3
- The February Policy Sessions will be 2/24 and 2/25. The next Admin Meeting will be February 12th.