

**DRAFT AGENDA  
 Public Education and Outreach Committee**

**Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District  
 Valley Oak Room, 10060 Goethe Rd, Sacramento 95827 [MAP](#)**

**Thursday, January 21, 3:30 PM to 5:00 PM**

Teleconference available (218) 339-4600 Participant Code: 927571#

- |  |        |
|--|--------|
| 1. Welcome, Introductions, Co-chair Appointment  | 5 min  |
| 2. Review Agenda and Approve December 16 <a href="#">Committee Meeting Notes</a>   | 5 min  |
| 3. Co-Chairs priorities for 2010 Joe DiGiorgio ( <a href="#">Materials to be Posted</a> )  | 10 min |
| 4. Review <a href="#">Committee Work Plan for 2010</a> budget request (to be updated)<br>Develop project details and priorities for implementation | 20 min |
| 5. Discuss <a href="#">Scoping and Outreach Meetings</a> Planned for April 28/29   | 25 min |
| 6. <a href="#">Matrix review/completion</a> Review (to be updated)   | 10 min |
| 7. February 10 Meeting and <a href="#">2010 Calendar</a>   | 10 min |
| 8. Identify items to be taken to the February 10 Executive Committee   | 10 min |
| 9. Adjourn 5:00 PM   |        |

**Mission of the CV Salinity Leadership Group:**

*The mission of the Central Valley Salinity Leadership Group is to work closely, in a collaborative manner to create a comprehensive Central Valley Salinity Management Plan.*

**Mission of the Public Education and Outreach Committee:**

*The mission of the Public Education and Outreach Committee is to obtain broad-based public participation in the creation and implementation of a comprehensive Central Valley Salinity Management Plan*

**Central Valley Salinity Leadership Group  
Public Education and Outreach Committee  
Wednesday, December 16, 2009; 3:30PM to 5:00 PM**

**Attendees:** See [Roster](#) for attendance.

**Committee Chair called the meeting at 3:30 followed by introductions of all present in-house and on teleconference.**

- 1. Welcome, Introductions, Circulate Roster**
- 2. Review/Approve [November 19 Meeting Notes](#)**

Upon Motion of Member Felton and Second by member DiGiorgio the notes were approved.

- 3. Materials [Matrix review/completion](#) Review**

Daniel provided a brief overview of the changes made to the Matrix and indicated it needed additional input from different groups. He listed several groups he had thought of and indicated he used the outline to complete it for the Wine industry based on interviews.

Other Groups are needed and discussion ensued on what groups and individuals may be important. Other outreach efforts were discussed as well.

The group in Modesto were primarily the result of the presentation we gave there. They enjoyed the DVDs. The matrix is proving very valuable to knowing who to contact regarding various issues and is being passed around to those who need it.

Daniel asked for names of people who would be interested in receiving the matrix. Most everyone who needed it and would be interested have already been approached. Suggestion by Chair Melilli that he would provide a list of Dixons and other community groups in January. Perhaps Storm Water contacts for Sacramento. Suggested by Vice Chair Dorn that one be sent to Central Valley Storm Water Association?

The Central Valley water is being tested for salinity content because Central Valley water flows into Southern California. That needs to be recording with their MTDS permit.

Daniel asked about rate payer or tax payer groups contacts for the matrix.

- 4. Review draft final and approve [PowerPoint](#)**

The final version hasn't come back from Linda. Rosa had wanted to do a last review, but she won't have time. Daniel followed up a couple of weeks ago. The Chair will follow up with Linda.

- 5. Review Committee Work Plan for 2010 budget request**

Daniel compiled all the point discussed at the last meeting into a new Work Plan. The final Grant proposal was submitted, December 15 for the slide card and the brown-bag lunch seminar series. The total budget was \$16,000 and that includes the mandated 25% match of funds. In February they will confirm that they have

received. In June, we will hear if we've been funded or not. Most grants given are between \$3000 and \$20,000 and the demand on funds is about \$6 million.

Daniel thanked Gail for the suggestion. The coalition provided a support letter. The scope of that grant will be added to the first two items. These items will probably be delayed until word comes of the grant money. These projects weren't listed in any priority order, just in the order in which people thought of them.

Suggestion that there be a contingency plan in the event that the grant doesn't come through, otherwise half the year would go by without any work or progress. Daniel suggested that while waiting for the grant, the group move on to other projects.

Public workshops or forums have been done earlier. Format was discussed at the last meeting and about going outside Sacramento.

Rosa didn't think that the salt and nitrate educational tour was a good idea. Suggestion that Rosa be told that it would be a stakeholder-targeted tour and that it was Karl's idea. Discussion about the merits and the target audience, including the media. There would need to be grant money to offer scholarships to give more incentive to attend.

Suggestion that the first be done on a shoestring budget and then as people pay and start investing in this issue bigger events can be held at later stages. Suggestion that this be a two-day event.

Daniel will follow up with Rosa about her concerns.

Suggestion that a list be created that is prioritized - that #8 become #1. Workshops, forums, summit would be #2. Suggestion that the media be engaged with a tour and that it be done in conjunction with the workshops. The tie-in for all these things should be focused towards a salt management plan for the region that ties in with the basin plan.

Suggestion that some focus be given to forming partnerships with water education and other groups who are the right people to apply for the grants. Daniel suggested that we focus on what our priorities are going to be and where the money we have should go and that needs to be presented to the Executive Committee. Discussion about what we can do by way of fundraising or finding people who would have access to the funding through grants. Suggestion that the committee pay attention to the EPA and the Farm Bill much more carefully.

## **6. Discuss Scoping and Outreach Meetings for April 28/29/30**

Daniel suggested that Gail and Betty make a presentation as to the scope and outreach meetings. There are some requirements for CEQA. Betty commented that the project description isn't specific enough. Daniel commented that there probably wouldn't be enough information to say definitively what the project is by April, but scoping meetings should still be possible along the lines of a CEQA scoping meeting that would give people a lot of information, that would solicit their input. CV-SALTS is not in a position to describe what will happen at the end of the work plan or what the basin plan amendment will change, but we can talk about the things we would like to change. This discussion need to happen and we need to speak with the public. This can't wait until 2013.

The first one will be the hardest.

7. [Strategic Plan \(12/2009\)](#) review and evaluation (if needed)  
No additional Review was needed

8. **January 21 Meetings Planning – No items identified**

9. **Identify items to be taken to the January 21 Executive Committee**

The PEOC needs a co-chair.

Member Felton made the motion that we nominate Joe as co-chair dependent on Joe's ability to serve after investigating with other responsibilities. The motion was seconded by Member Longley. The committee will await Member DeGiorgio's response and inform the Executive Committee of their recommendation.

10. **Adjourned**



## **DRAFT CV SALTS Technical Advisory and Economic Committees Work Plan 2010 - Version 2**

### **Background**

At the November 19, 2009 meeting attendees reviewed the list from the last version of the Strategic Plan and focused on more implementable efforts.

Several items were discussed in detail and they attempted to provide costs of the efforts shown below:

#2 – Slide cards with a budget of \$6000;

#7 – brown bag lunch with a budget of \$4000;

#10 – conduct 2 focused public forums or workshops cost \$10,000 each;

#17 – salt tour at a cost of \$20,000.

With this basis the following Draft Work Plan was prepared.

### **2. Further Develop Committee Workplan for 2010 and outline implementation**

The committee should further develop the workplan with the committee chairs and participants along with any direction provided by the Executive Committee.

### **3. Tasks to be accomplished in 2010**

There was agreement to work on detailing and funding the following efforts in the Order of Priority:

# 99 – New-support scoping public meetings/outreach support \$15,000

#10 – Conduct 2 focused public forums or workshops cost \$10,000 each;

#7 – Brown bag lunch with a budget of \$4000;

#2 – Slide cards with a budget of \$6000;

#17 – Salt tour at a cost of \$20,000

Total cost \$65,000

### **4. Public Scoping Outreach and Meetings**

#### **Description**

CV-SALTS has planned for Scoping and Outreach meetings which are critical to the CV-SALTS efforts and to long term CEQA compliance and transparency of the efforts. These meetings will require significant outreach coordination and preparation to be effective.

### **Committee Efforts**

- PEOC to determine the content of the meetings and highest priority outreach targets
- Committee to review content and material concepts. It is recommend agencies with PIO's review the content and information and support efforts in their local area.
- Executive Committee to Approve upon recommendation of PEOC

### **Funding**

These meetings and outreach are needed to support the BUOS and other efforts of the CV-SALTS Committees.

### **Milestones and Deadline**

Committee approvals, meetings scheduled in April

## **5. Public Workshops or Forums**

### **Description**

The Public Workshops or forum was based on prior salt workshops provided through CV-SALTS which provide a broad overview of salinity and nitrate issues in the valley and tailored to the local workshop area. The presenters are credible experts and staff from the agencies and consultants. Workshops should include significant outreach to the local communities to increase understanding and attendance at the workshops. The budget supports outreach, preparation and conducting the workshops or forum. The only cost to the participant would be for food, if required with the opportunities for scholarships as needed.

### **Committee Efforts**

- PEOC Determine priority of this task and timing for the event and how to coordinate with other efforts
- Determine scope for contracting for the workshops and revise the budget estimate, review proposals or offers.
- Committee to provide ideas and review workshop plan/content
- Executive Committee to approve upon recommendation of PEOC

### **Funding**

Funding for this item will be needed. It is possible that this effort may be fundable from the BUOS as the effort is aligned with the scope for the study. Additional funds may be needed and partnerships may be possible with BOR or others.

### **Milestones and Deadline**

- Conceptual Plan and Detailed Budget
- Funding Approval

Committee approvals, deadline per Committee and coordinated with other efforts

## **6. Brown Bag Legislative Awareness Lunches**

### **Description**

*WEF has prepared detailed information on the Salt Education Slide Card shown below:*

The goal of the Brown Bag Seminar Series is to produce leaders, educated in water resources, who will be able to make informed decisions about how to plan for California's rising water needs in ways that are sustainable.

The three Brown Bag Series Seminars proposed in this application will maintain that goal but with a tighter focus on Salinity issues. The Brown Bag Seminar Series provides elected officials and their staff aides with the opportunity to meet key stakeholders, hear various viewpoints from those working on the front lines of salinity issues, and receive reference publications and materials.

### **Committee Efforts**

- PEOC participants to monitor for Legislation that would trigger implementation or create greater interest for the Awareness Lunches
- PEOC to work with WEF to design content and materials
- Recommend agencies with PIO's review information and support efforts
- Executive Committee to approve upon recommendation of PEOC

### **Funding**

WEF has applied for funding from EPA for the \$6000 for this effort, if additional efforts are needed CVSC or other funding may be needed. Preliminary confirmation of receipt in February 2010 and funding decision in June 2010

### **Milestones and Deadline**

EPA funding, committee approvals and deadline per funding

## **7. Salt Education Slide Card**

### **Description**

*WEF has prepared detailed information on the Salt Education Slide Card shown below:*

Utilizing the successful format that the Water Education Foundation has developed for previous slide cards dealing with topics such as Colorado River Facts, California Water Facts, and Prevention Pollution, the Salinity Slide Card will include facts and information about salinity in California's Central Valley and its growing threat to food supply, drinking water, and lifestyle. The Salinity Slide Card will offer tips for individuals to help in the fight against Salinity. The slide card will present, in an interactive way, how much salt is used or created in various household tasks both inside and outside of the home. The Salinity Slide Card will also provide the user with a list of "how-to's" for decreasing salts, such as water conservation, use of liquid detergents, and selection of low-salt water



softening home systems. The Slide Card will also offer various facts and figures about agriculture and salinity, including where the salt in the soil comes from, the amount of salt imported into the Central Valley from Delta water, and ways for farmers to respond and address the situation. The Water Education Foundation proposes to produce 3,000 copies of the Salinity Slide card for distribution and use by educators, water agencies and utilities, civic leaders and the general public.

### **Committee Efforts**

- PEOC work with WEF to design content, layout and branding
- Executive Committee to approve upon recommendation of PEOC

### **Funding**

WEF has applied for funding from EPA for the \$6000 for this effort. If additional copies are desired CVSC or other funding may be needed.

### **Milestones and Deadline**

EPA funding, Committee approvals and deadline per funding  
Preliminary confirmation of receipt in February 2010 and funding decision in June 2010

## **8. Salt and Nitrate Education Tour**

### **Description**

The Salt Education tour was based on prior water education and Delta tours provided by WEF and others that provide a bus tour to various areas that have salt impacts and solutions. This effort would raise awareness and focus on potential solutions and management alternatives for salt and nitrates. The budget is to prepare the tour and coordinate. The actual costs for expenses would be covered by the participants with a few opportunities for scholarships. The Committee recommends that this event be tied to another event, perhaps the workshops or another appropriate event to draw participants and extend advertising and outreach. The tour targets should be areas and operations that are examples of salt management efforts that will be part of the Basin Plan or Salt Management plan. The tour should highlight system problems and management opportunities as well as developing solutions.

Target audiences identified include:

- Legislative staff
- local elected
- Media
- Development, planning and land use

### **Committee Efforts**

- PEOC Determine priority of this task and timing for the event and how to coordinate with other efforts. Determine scope for contracting for the tour and revise the budget estimate, review proposals or offers.
- Committee to propose and review tour plan and content



- Committee to work with others to develop sponsorships funding for scholarships
- Executive Committee to approve upon recommendation of PEOC

### Funding

Funding for this item will be needed. It is questionable if current grants can contribute to this effort. Partnership may be possible with BOR or others to make this effort possible.

### Milestones and Deadline

- Conceptual Plan and detailed budget
- Funding approval
- Committee approvals, deadline per committee and coordinated with other efforts.

## 9. Plan Implementation

The plan should be approved for content/appropriateness by the Executive Committee and for funding availability by the CV Salinity Coalition and Funding Source.

PEO Committee should discuss a timeline for each program:

1. Support scoping public meetings/outreach support - April 2010
2. Conduct 2 focused public forums or workshops cost – June or September
3. Salinity Brown bag lunch after \_\_\_\_\_ based on Legislation or pre/post November
4. Salinity Slide cards based on grant funding
5. Salt Tour, what events to partner or coordinate for implementation

Upon finalization of the timeline and plan, the PEOC will submit to the Executive Committee and CVSC.

## 10. Budget

The total Budget for this effort would be \$65,000 not including the committee support provided by CVSC. WEF has solicited \$16,000 from EPA to perform 2 items on the list leaving \$53,000. Some of the efforts listed in the effort, Public Scoping Meeting Support and Public Workshops/Forum are likely needed as part of the Beneficial Use and Objective Study, currently funded by the State Water Resources Control Board under a grant administered by the SJ Valley Drainage Authority. Approximately \$35,000 could be funded from this source. Other contributions would be needed for the remaining efforts, primarily the Salt Tour Development.

BUOS	\$35,000
EPA	\$12,000
Other	<u>\$18,000</u>
TOTAL	\$65,000

No.	Benefits/Messages	Audiences or Groups																						
		Dischargers		Water Supply and Related					Water Quality				Government					Business		Citizens and Environmental				Issue Relevance
		Muni Wastewater	Industrial or other Discharger	Ag & NonAg Irrigation	IRWM Regions	Farming Related	Water Districts	Recycled Water Projects	Irrigated Lands	Community Groups	Stormwater	Local Govt.	Federal Interests	State Interests	Regional Board	State Board	Land Use Planning	Industry Associations	Significant Employers	Environmental Justice	Environmental and Habitat	Schools and Academic Institutions	Tax or Rate Payers	
1	Participation in the Basin Planning Processes	3.0	2.3	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	1.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	
2	Protecting Beneficial Uses/Water Quality	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	
3	Stakeholder Process = all voices heard	2.7	2.7	2.0	3.0	2.3	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.5	
4	Ensuring Sound Science is used in Basin Planning	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7
5	Will Improve Water Quality (Salt)	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.9
6	Will Improve Water Quality (Nitrate)	2.0	2.3	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	2.1
7	Promotes Investment in our future water supply	1.3	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.2	
8	Compliance with future permits is easier	3.0	2.3	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.0	2.5	2.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	2.3
9	Mitigates future costs and rate increases	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	2.0
10	Better Planning will result in more equitable costs	3.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	2.4
11	Promotes Sustainable Agricultural Planning	1.0	2.3	3.0	3.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.2
12	Helps Keep Business in Business	2.5	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.1
13	Set a plan for long term Valley Sustainability	2.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.6
14	Garner State and Federal Implementation funds	2.7	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.7	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	1.0	2.0	2.5	2.7	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
15	Basin Plan reflects our needs and plans	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	2.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.4
16	Regional versus permit by permit solutions to Salinity	2.7	2.3	3.0	2.5	2.3	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.5	3.0	2.0	2.5	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.4
17	Reduced litigation when complete	2.7	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.5
18	Adequate Transport of Salt	2.3	2.3	3.0	1.5	2.3	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	1.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.1
19	Additional participants in planning	2.5	2.0	1.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
20	Locations for Salt Storage and Management	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.5	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.1
21	Expand Publicly Available Information	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.3	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.5	1.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.1
22	Predictable costs for monitoring /compliance	2.3	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	2.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	2.1
23	Ability to recycle water/streamlined permits	2.3	1.7	2.0	1.5	1.3	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.8
24	Comply with State Recycling Policy	2.3	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.6
25	Comply with DWR Water plan IRWM Requirements	1.3	1.3	1.0	2.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.4
26	Adds value for the association/looks out members	2.7	1.7	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.8	
	<i>Additional Issues from Questions</i>																							3.0
31	Improves funding for more stringent discharge rules	3.0	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.9
32	Improve measurement of salinity SAR, EC, TDS EC	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1
33	Improve understanding of salt accumulation	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.5	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
34	Mitigates controlled per capita salt increases	2.5	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.5
35	Source control efforts targeted to households	3.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.6
36	Offsets or Credits to mitigate local salt accumulation	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.7
37	Identifies point source and non-point source salts	2.7	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.6
38	Allows salt increase for recycling/conservation	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.6
39	Accounts for salt due to upstream water diversions	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.5	1.5	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.8
40	Use and distribution of assimilative (salt) capacity	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.9
41	Links salt increases due to land use decisions	2.5	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
42	Identifies beneficial use conflicts/priorities	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.1
43	Groundwater use controls for salinity management	1.7	1.5	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.0	1.5	1.3	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.7
44	Controls salinity impacts from energy gen/use	1.7	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.5	3.0	2.0	1.7	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.7
45	Salt transport is recognized as a beneficial use	2.3	2.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.6
46	Review options for excess salt to be taxed	2.0	2.5	3.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	2.7	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.1
47	Opportunities for excess salt trade/credit/offsets	2.7	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	1.3	3.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.2
48	Effective salt storage, recovery and disposal	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.8
49	See emerging water quality similarities to salt	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.3	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.7
50	Controls water use to mitigate salt accumulation	3.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	2.5	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.8
51	Optimizing salt removal and treatment	2.7	3.0	2.0	1.5	2.5	1.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
53	Protects beneficial uses causing salt accumulation	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.7
	Averages for Group	2.3	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	2.5	2.2	1.9	1.5	1.8	1.9	2.3	2.4	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.9	2.0

- PEOC Committee**
  
- Wine Institue
- ACWA
- NCWA
- City of Modesto
- Karl Longly
- TID Diane
- BOR Gene
- Environmental
- Wetlands US
- California Chamber
- League of Cities
- Johnson and Johnson
- Joe to send to Dixon
- Sac Stormwater Janacia Paul
- Ag Council of Ca
- 
- 
-

# CV-SALTS Calendar 2010

## Monthly Meetings

January 21  
 February 10  
 March 11  
 April 21  
 May 13  
 June 10

July 15  
 August 12  
 September 16  
 October 28  
 November 18  
 December 16 optional

## Special Meetings

Jan  
 Feb 18-19 MSSC Con. LV  
 Mar  
 April 26-27 Public Outreach Mtngs  
 May 4-6 ACWA  
 Jun 15-17 AG Salinity

Jul  
 Aug  
 Sept 28 or 29 Leadership Group  
 Oct  
 Nov  
 Dec No Planned Meeting

January '10						
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

February '10						
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28						

March '10						
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

April '10						
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	

May '10						
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

June '10						
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

July '10						
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

August '10						
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

September '10						
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30		

October '10						
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

November '10						
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

December '10						
Su	M	Tu	W	Th	F	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

**CV-SALTS Monthly Meeting**  
 Second Meeting, if needed  
**Leadership Group Meeting**  
 Public Outreach

Potential Furlough

Regional Board Meetings  
 Holidays or Furlough  
 Conferences/Other Meetings  
 Multiple Conflicts